arXiv:1305.0588v1 [nlin.SI] 2 May 2013

Darboux transformations and Recursion operators for differential–difference equations

Farbod Khanizadeh†, Alexander V. Mikhailov⋆ and Jing Ping Wang†
† School of Mathematics, Statistics & Actuarial Science, University of Kent, UK
⋆ Applied Mathematics Department, University of Leeds, UK

Abstract

In this paper we review two concepts directly related to the Lax representations: Darboux transformations and Recursion operators for integrable systems. We then present an extensive list of integrable differential-difference equations together with their Hamiltonian structures, recursion operators, nontrivial generalised symmetries and Darboux-Lax representations. The new results include multi-Hamiltonian structures and recursion operators for integrable Volterra type equations, integrable discretization of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations such as the Kaup-Newell lattice, the Chen-Lee-Liu lattice and the Ablowitz-Ramani-Segur (Gerdjikov-Ivanov) lattice. We also compute the weakly nonlocal inverse recursion operators.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give a comprehensive account of multi-Hamiltonian structures, recursion operators and Darboux-Lax representations for a wide class of integrable differential-difference equations. Some of these results are well known, but scattered in literature. In many cases we have completed the picture providing explicit expressions for Hamiltonian, symplectic, recursion operators and Darboux-Lax representations. The Lax representations of nonlinear differential and difference equations play a central role in the theory of integrable systems. It enables one to apply the inverse transform method for construction of exact solutions and study the asymptotic of the initial value problem. It also enables one to construct a recursion operator which generates a infinite hierarchies of symmetries and conservation laws. Currently for a given equation there is not any general method to find its Lax representation. The most successful approach is the Wahlquist–Estabrook prolongation procedure [1]. Recently, Mikhailov and his coauthors have tackled this problem from a different angle [2, 3, 4, 5]. They studied possible reductions of a general Lax representation using the reduction group approach [6, 7, 8] and further leading to a classification of the Lax representations and corresponding integrable equations.

The concept of Darboux transformations is originated from the classical differential geometry [9]. Application of Darboux transformations to the corresponding Lax representation leads to Bäcklund transformations and to a generation of new exact solutions for the integrable system. Bäcklund transformations can be regarded as an integrable system of differential-difference equations on their own rights. These differential-difference equations play role of infinitesimal symmetries for the integrable partial difference equations which can be obtained from the condition of the Bianchi commutativity of the Darboux transformations.

Evolutionary differential-difference systems are the main object of our study. Our notations are standard. We illustrate them on the well-known example of the Volterra equation [10] (cf. Section 4.1 for more algebraic properties of this equation), which we can write in the form

$$u_t = u(u_1 - u_{-1}). \tag{1}$$

Here the dependent variable u is assumed to be a function $u(n,t)$ of a lattice variable $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a continuous variable t and we denote

$$u_t = \partial_t u(n,t), \quad u_j = u(n+j,t).$$

We shall omit the subscript index zero and use u instead of u_0. The Volterra equation (1) encodes an infinite sequence of differential equations

$$\partial_t u(n,t) = u(n,t)(u(n+1,t) - u(n-1,t)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Equation (1) possesses an infinite hierarchy of symmetries, or in other words, it is compatible with an infinite sequence of evolutionary equations of the form

$$u_{t_m} = K_m(u_m, u_{m-1}, \dots, u_{1-m}, u_{-m}), \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $t_1 = t,\ K_1 = u(u_1 - u_{-1})$,

$$K_2 = u\left(u_1u_2 + u_1^2 + uu_1 - uu_{-1} - u_{-1}^2 - u_{-1}u_{-2}\right)$$

and K_m are certain polynomials of variables $u_m, u_{m-1}, \dots, u_{1-m}, u_{-m}$. The compatibility means $\partial_{t_m}(\partial_t u) = \partial_t(\partial_{t_m} u)$ or the vanishing of the Lie bracket $[K_1, K_m]$, which is defined as

$$[K_1, K_m] := K_{m\star}(K_1) - K_{1\star}(K_m) = 0$$

where $K_{m\star}$ denotes the Fréchet derivative

$$K_{m\star} = \sum_{p=-m}^{m} \frac{\partial K_m}{\partial u_p} \mathcal{S}^p$$

and $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the shift operator, such that $\mathcal{S}(u_k) = u_{k+j}$ and for any function $f(u_{k_1}, \dots, u_{k_2})$ we have $\mathcal{S}^j(f(u_{k_1}, \dots, u_{k_2})) = f(u_{k_1+j}, \dots, u_{k_2+j})$.
Symmetries of the Volterra equation can be generated by the recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$

$$\mathcal{R} = u\mathcal{S} + u + u_1 + u\mathcal{S}^{-1} + u(u_1 - u_{-1})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u}, \tag{2}$$

namely

$$K_{m+1} = \mathcal{R}^m(K_1)$$

The vector fields corresponding to the Volterra equation and its symmetries are difference polynomials, i.e. elements of the difference polynomial ring $R = [\mathbb{C}, u, \mathcal{S}]$ which is a multi-variate ring over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ with infinite number of indeterminates $u_k,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and equipped with the automorphism $\mathcal{S}$. The corresponding field of fractions $\mathcal{F} = (\mathbb{C}, u, \mathcal{S})$ is a difference field of rational functions of indeterminates $u_k,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, which inherits the automorphism $\mathcal{S}$. Difference operators are defined as finite sums of the form $\sum a_k \mathcal{S}^k$, where $a_k \in \mathcal{F}$ (the above defined Fréchet derivative $K_{m\star}$ is an example of a difference operator). Difference operators act naturally on elements of $\mathcal{F}$. Similar to the differential case the elements of the ring R, field $\mathcal{F}$ and difference operators are called local polynomials, local functions and local operators respectively.
The recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ given by (2) is not a local operator. It contains the local part and the term $u(u_1 - u_{-1})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}u^{-1}$. Action of $\mathcal{R}$ can be defined on those elements of $\mathcal{F}$ which belong to the the image of the difference operator $u(\mathcal{S} - 1)$. We can directly check that $K_1, K_2 \in \operatorname{Im}(u(\mathcal{S} - 1))$. Similar to [11] it can be shown that all $K_{m+1} = \mathcal{R}^m(K_1),\ m \in \mathbb{N}$ are difference polynomials. The action of $\mathcal{R}$ is not uniquely defined on the elements of $\operatorname{Im}(u(\mathcal{S} - 1))$. Indeed, the base field $\mathbb{C}$ is the kernel space of the difference operator $u(\mathcal{S} - 1)$ and thus for $a = u(\mathcal{S} - 1)(b),\ b \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}(u^{-1}a) = b + \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary constant. Obviously, acting by the recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ defined by (2) on K_1 we get

$$\mathcal{R}(u(u_1 - u_{-1})) = u\left(u_1u_2 + u_1^2 + uu_1 - uu_{-1} - u_{-1}^2 - u_{-1}u_{-2}\right) + \alpha u(u_1 - u_{-1}).$$

Action of the recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ is well defined on the sequence of the quotient linear spaces

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(K_1), \quad \mathcal{K}_m = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m)/\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{m-1})$$

and in what follows describing the result of the action of a recursion operator on a symmetry we shall give one representative from the corresponding co-set.

The recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ given by (2) is a pseudo-difference operator. It can be represented in the form $\mathcal{R} = BA^{-1}$, where A and B are difference operators. For example one can take $A = \mathcal{H}_1, B = \mathcal{H}_2$, where $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are two Hamiltonian operators for the Volterra equation (see Section 4.1). Notice that the pseudo-difference operator $\mathcal{R}$ is a sum of a local (difference) operator and a nonlocal term of the form $P(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}Q$. We say that a pseudo-difference operator is weakly nonlocal if it can be represented in the form

$$A + \sum_i P_i(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}Q_i$$

where A, P_i and Q_i are difference operators and the sum is finite (a similar terminology has been first introduced in the study of pseudo-differential Hamiltonian operators [12]). Thus the recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ given by (2) is a weakly nonlocal pseudo-difference operator. Moreover, it is easy to show that $\mathcal{R}^m,\ m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a pseudo-difference operator. In the majority of cases studied in the present paper the recursion operators are weakly nonlocal. Exceptions include the recursion operator for the Narita-Itoh-Bogoyavlensky lattice [13] (see Section 4.5).

We notice that surprisingly, in the case of multi-component systems of integrable difference equations with weakly nonlocal recursion operators often the inverse recursion operator is also weakly nonlocal. It enables us to generate infinitely many local symmetries corresponding to the inverse flows. For example the Heisenberg ferromagnet lattice [14] (cf. Section 4.17 for more algebraic properties of this equation)

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_t = (u-v)(u-u_1)(u_1-v)^{-1} \\ v_t = (u-v)(v_{-1}-v)(u-v_{-1})^{-1} \end{array}\right.$$

possesses a recursion operator

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u_1-v)^2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{2(u-u_1)(v-v_{-1})}{(u-v_{-1})(u_1-v)} & -\frac{(u-u_1)^2}{(u_1-v)^2} \\ \frac{(v-v_{-1})^2}{(u-v_{-1})^2} & \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u-v_{-1})^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + 2K^{(1)}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}Q^{(1)},$$

where

$$K^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)(u-u_1)}{u_1-v} \\ \frac{(u-v)(v_{-1}-v)}{u-v_{-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_{-1}}{(u-v)(u-v_{-1})}, & \frac{u-u_1}{(u-v)(u_1-v)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The operator $\mathcal{R}$ is weakly nonlocal and it has a weakly nonlocal inverse

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u_{-1}-v)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} & \frac{(u-u_{-1})^2}{(u_{-1}-v)^2} \\ -\frac{(v-v_1)^2}{(u-v_1)^2} & \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u-v_1)^2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{2(u-u_{-1})(v-v_1)}{(u-v_1)(u_{-1}-v)} \end{pmatrix} - 2K^{(-1)}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}Q^{(-1)},$$

where

$$K^{(-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)(u_{-1}-u)}{u_{-1}-v} \\ \frac{(u-v)(v-v_1)}{u-v_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^{(-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_1}{(u-v)(u-v_1)}, & \frac{u-u_{-1}}{(u-v)(u_{-1}-v)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the Heisenberg ferromagnet lattice has infinitely many local symmetries $\mathcal{R}^l(K^{(1)})$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-l}(K^{(-1)})$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The phenomenon has been explored for the Ablowitz-Ladik lattice and the Bruschi-Ragnisco lattice in [15]. In this paper, we compute the weakly nonlocal inverse recursion operators for all multi-component integrable differential-difference equations if existing. Such inverses do not exist for scalar nonlinear integrable differential-difference equations. For a given weakly nonlocal difference operator, to answer whether there exists a weakly nonlocal inverse operator is still an open problem.

The arrangement of this paper is as follows: First we review two closely related topics concerning the Lax representations. One is the Darboux transformations of the Lax representation, from which we derive the integrable differential-difference equations. Another topic is to derive the recursion operator for the resulting equations using the Darboux transformation. We illustrate the methods through two typical examples: the well-known nonlinear Schrödinger equation and a deformation of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation corresponding to the dihedral reduction group $\mathbb{D}_2$.

We complete the paper with a long list of integrable differential-difference equations, where we list equations themselves, their Hamiltonian structures, recursion operators, nontrivial generalised symmetries and their Lax representations. We also include partial results on their master symmetries. For

some equations we add further notes concerning the links with other known equations and the weakly nonlocal inverses of recursion operators if existing. The list is far from being complete.
We mainly refer to the source where we learned about each system although some attempt has been made to track the original contributions. Compiling the list we have verified and made consistent the objects collected from the vast literature. Our list also includes a number of new results (to the best of our knowledge):

- The Hamiltonian operators, symplectic operators and recursion operators given in section 4.4 for equations (68)–(70), and the relations among them;
- The Hamiltonian operators, symplectic operators and recursion operators for the Kaup-Newell lattice (section 4.14), the Chen-Lee-Liu lattice (section 4.15) and the Ablowitz-Ramani-Segur (Gerdjikov-Ivanov) lattice (section 4.16);
- All weakly nonlocal inverse recursion operators if existing (except for the cases of Ablowitz-Ladik lattice and Bruschi-Ragnisco lattice, which were known).

2 Lax representations and Darboux matrices

With an evolutionary nonlinear partial differential system

$$\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_x, \ldots \mathbf{u}_{x\cdots x}), \qquad \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^m \tag{3}$$

solvable via the spectral transform method [16, 17, 18] one associates a pair of linear operators

$$L = D_x - U(\mathbf{u}; \lambda), \qquad A = D_t - V(\mathbf{u}; \lambda),$$

which is conventionally called the *Lax pair*. Here U, V are square matrices, whose entries are functions of the dependent variable $\mathbf{u}$ and its x-derivatives and certain rational (in some cases elliptic) functions of the spectral parameter λ, such that equation (3) is equivalent to the condition of commutativity of these operators

$$[L,\ A] = D_t(U) - D_x(V) + [U, V] = 0. \tag{4}$$

The latter is often called a *zero curvature representation* or *Lax representation* of equation (3). In this paper we mainly consider U and V to be 2×2 matrices and their entries to be polynomial in spectral parameter λ.
Generally speaking, symmetries of an evolutionary equation are its compatible evolutionary equations. Integrable equation (3) has an infinite sequence of commuting symmetries

$$\mathbf{u}_{t_k} = \mathbf{F}^k(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_x, \ldots \mathbf{u}_{x\cdots x}), \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}\,, \tag{5}$$

which can be associated with a commutative algebra of linear operators

$$A^k = D_{t_k} - V^k(\mathbf{u}; \lambda), \qquad [A^i,\ A^j] = 0. \tag{6}$$

Similar to equation (3) system (5) is equivalent to $[L,\ A^k] = 0$. Operator A and equation (3) can be considered as members in the sequence of operators $\{A^k\}$ and symmetries (5) respectively, for particular values of k. The commutativity of operators can be seen as a compatibility condition for the infinite sequence of linear problems

$$D_x(\Psi) = U(\mathbf{u}; \lambda)\Psi, \qquad D_{t_k}(\Psi) = V^k(\mathbf{u}; \lambda)\Psi, \tag{7}$$

i.e. the condition for the existence of a common fundamental solution Ψ of all these problems, $\det\Psi \neq 0$.
To Darboux transformations we refer as a linear map $\mathcal{S}$ acting on a fundamental solution

$$\mathcal{S}:\ \Psi \mapsto \overline{\Psi} = M\Psi, \qquad \det M \neq 0 \tag{8}$$

such that the matrix function $\overline{\Psi}$ is a fundamental solution of the linear problems

$$D_x(\overline{\Psi}) = U(\overline{\mathbf{u}}; \lambda)\overline{\Psi}, \qquad D_{t_k}(\overline{\Psi}) = V^k(\overline{\mathbf{u}}; \lambda)\overline{\Psi}, \tag{9}$$

with new "potentials" $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$. The matrix M is often called the Darboux matrix. The entries of the Darboux matrix M are a rational (elliptic) functions of the spectral parameter λ. As a function of λ the determinant of M may vanish only at a finite set points on the Riemann sphere (the parallelogram of periods). The Darboux matrix M depends on $\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}$ and may also depend on some auxiliary functions $\mathbf{g}$ (or parameters, if $\mathbf{g}$ is a constant). For examples of such $\mathbf{g}$, we refer to the matrices in (18). So we denote the Darboux matrix by $M = M(\mathbf{u}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{g}; \lambda)$.

From the compatibility of (8) and (9) it follows that

$$D_x(M) = U(\overline{\mathbf{u}}; \lambda)M - MU(\mathbf{u}; \lambda), \tag{10}$$

$$D_{t_k}(M) = V^k(\overline{\mathbf{u}}; \lambda)M - MV^k(\mathbf{u}; \lambda). \tag{11}$$

Equations (10) and (11) are differential equations which relate two solutions $\mathbf{u}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ of (3) and (5). In the literature they are also often called *Bäcklund transformations*.

A Darboux transformation maps one compatible system (7) into another one (9). It defines a Darboux map $\mathcal{S} : \mathbf{u} \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{u}}$. The map (8) is invertible ($\det M \neq 0$) and it can be iterated

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \Psi = M(\underline{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}; \lambda)\underline{\Psi} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \overline{\Psi} = M(\mathbf{u}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{g}; \lambda)\Psi \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \overline{\overline{\Psi}} = M(\overline{\mathbf{u}}, \overline{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}, \overline{\mathbf{g}}; \lambda)\overline{\Psi} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \overline{\overline{\overline{\Psi}}} = M(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}, \overline{\overline{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}}, \overline{\overline{\mathbf{g}}}; \lambda)\overline{\overline{\Psi}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \cdots .$$

It suggests notations

$$\ldots \Psi_{-1} = \underline{\Psi},\ \Psi_0 = \Psi,\ \Psi_1 = \overline{\Psi},\ \Psi_2 = \overline{\overline{\Psi}}, \ldots,$$

$$\ldots \mathbf{u}_{-1} = \underline{\mathbf{u}},\ \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u},\ \mathbf{u}_1 = \overline{\mathbf{u}},\ \mathbf{u}_2 = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{u}}}, \ldots,$$

$$\ldots \mathbf{g}_{-1} = \underline{\mathbf{g}},\ \mathbf{g}_0 = \mathbf{g},\ \mathbf{g}_1 = \overline{\mathbf{g}},\ \mathbf{g}_2 = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{g}}}, \ldots .$$

With a vertex k of the one dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$ we associate variables Ψ_k and $\mathbf{u}_k$; with the edges joining vertices k and $k+1$ we associate the auxiliary functions (parameters) $\mathbf{g}_k$ and the matrix $M_k = M(\mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \mathbf{g}_k; \lambda)$. In this notations the Darboux maps $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ increases and decreases the subscript index by one, and therefore we shall call it as $\mathcal{S}$–shift, or shift operator $\mathcal{S}$. In what follows we often shall omit zero in the subscript index and write $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{g}$ instead of $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{g}_0$.

In these notations (10) and the sequence (11) are a hierarchy of compatible systems of differential difference equations. When the resulting equations from (10) and (11) are in the evolutionary form they form an infinite dimensional Lie algebra of commuting symmetries. The existence of an infinite algebra of commuting symmetries is often taken as a definition of integrability of the equation (and of the whole hierarchy of symmetries) [19, 20, 21, 22].

In order to illustrate this construction we consider two examples: (1) the well known example of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation; (2) the new results on differential difference equations corresponding to the dihedral reduction group $\mathbb{D}_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (the group of Klein). In the next section we will use these examples for illustration of the derivation of recursion operators.

2.1 The nonlinear Schrödinger equation

The nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$\begin{array}{rl} 2p_t = & p_{xx} - 8p^2q \\ 2q_t = & -q_{xx} + 8q^2p \end{array} \tag{12}$$

has a zero curvature representation (4) where [23]

$$U(\mathbf{u}; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2p \\ 2q & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

$$V(\mathbf{u}; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -2pq & p_x \\ -q_x & 2pq \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2p \\ 2q & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

The NLS equation has an infinite hierarchy of commuting symmetries. The matrix part of the corresponding linear operators $A^k = D_{t_k} - V^k$ have the form

$$V^0 = J, \qquad V^{k+1} = \lambda V^k + B^k(\mathbf{u}) \tag{15}$$

where $J = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1)$ and $B^k(\mathbf{u})$ are traceless matrices with entries depending on p, q and their x-derivatives. Matrices $B^k(\mathbf{u})$ can be found recursively [24] and in particular we have

$$V^1 = U(\mathbf{u}; \lambda), \qquad V^2 = V(\mathbf{u}; \lambda) \qquad V^3 = \lambda V(\mathbf{u}; \lambda) + \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 2pq_x - 2qp_x & p_{xx} - 8p^2q \\ q_{xx} - 8q^2p & 2qp_x - 2pq_x \end{pmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

Symmetries corresponding to A^0, A^1, A^2 and A^3 are

$$\begin{array}{llll} p_{t_0} = \ \ 2p & p_{t_1} = p_x & p_{t_2} = \ \ \frac{1}{2}p_{xx} - 4p^2q & p_{t_3} = \frac{1}{4}p_{xxx} - 6pqp_x \\ q_{t_0} = -2q & q_{t_1} = q_x & q_{t_2} = -\frac{1}{2}q_{xx} + 4q^2p & q_{t_3} = \frac{1}{4}q_{xxx} - 6pqq_x. \end{array} \tag{17}$$

It is known (see [25], [26]) that any Darboux matrix for the NLS equation is a composition of the following three elementary Darboux matrices:

$$M(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, f; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + f & p \\ q_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ N(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, h; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + h & p \\ p^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ K(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, \alpha; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

and their inverses.

The Darboux map and/or the corresponding differential difference equation for each above elementary Darboux matrix can be derived as follows.

(K): The map with the Darboux matrix K does not depend on the spectral parameter λ and variables $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1$. Moreover, it follows from (10) that α is a constant (does not depend on x) and $p_1 = \alpha^2 p, q_1 = \alpha^{-2} q$. Thus in this case the Darboux map is a gauge transformation corresponding to a point symmetry of the equation (12) and its hierarchy of symmetries.

(N): Substitution of $N(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, h; \lambda)$ in (10) yields

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{p}, \qquad h_x = 2p_1q_1 - 2pq, \qquad p_x = -2hp, \qquad q_{1,x} = 2hq_1 \tag{19}$$

and therefore we have got the following explicit Darboux map

$$p_1 = p^2q - \frac{p}{4}\left(\frac{p_x}{p}\right)_x, \qquad q_1 = \frac{1}{p}.$$

After the change of the variables $p = \exp(\phi)$ (and thus $q = \exp(-\phi_{-1})$) equations (19) yields the following system of evolutionary equations

$$\phi_x = -2h, \qquad h_x = 2\exp(\phi_1 - \phi) - 2\exp(\phi - \phi_{-1}) \tag{20}$$

which after the elimination of variable h takes form of the Toda lattice

$$\phi_{xx} = 4\exp(\phi - \phi_{-1}) - 4\exp(\phi_1 - \phi).$$

It is an infinite chain of differential equations for dependent variables $\phi_n,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Notice that $\phi = \ln p$ and $h = -\frac{\phi_x}{2}$. Using (19) to eliminate x-derivatives from (17) one can obtain symmetries of the Toda chain (20):

$$\begin{array}{lll} \phi_{t_0} = 2 & \phi_{t_1} = -2h & \phi_{t_2} = 2h^2 - 2(\mathcal{S} + 1)\exp(\phi - \phi_{-1}) \\ h_{t_0} = 0 & h_{t_1} = 2(\mathcal{S} - 1)\exp(\phi - \phi_{-1}) & h_{t_2} = -2(\mathcal{S} - 1)(\exp(\phi - \phi_{-1})(h_{-1} + h)) \end{array} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \phi_{t_3} = -2h^3 + 2\exp(\phi - \phi_{-1})(2h + h_{-1}) + 2\exp(\phi_1 - \phi)(2h + h_1) \\ h_{t_3} = 2(\mathcal{S} - 1)((h_{-1}^2 + h_{-1}h + h^2)\exp(\phi - \phi_{-1}) + \exp(2\phi - 2\phi_{-1}) + (\mathcal{S} + 1)\exp(\phi - \phi_{-2})) \end{array}.$$

Symmetries (21) have Darboux-Lax representation

$$N_{t_k} - \mathcal{S}(U^k)N + NU^k = 0 \qquad N = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + h & \exp(\phi) \\ \exp(-\phi) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

and matrices $U^0, \ldots, U^3$ are obtained from $V^0, \ldots, V^3$ given by (15) and (16) by elimination of x-derivatives using (19). In the next section we shall derive a recursion operator for generating symmetries of the Toda lattice.

(M): Substitution of $M(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}_1,f;\lambda)$ in (10) leads to the following system of differential-difference equations:

$$f_x = 2p_1q_1 - 2pq, \qquad p_x = 2p_1 - 2fp, \qquad q_x = -2q_{-1} + 2f_{-1}q. \tag{23}$$

Symmetries of this system can be found from the Lax Darboux representations (11) where V^k given by (15) and (16) after elimination of x-derivatives with the help of (23):

$$\begin{array}{lll} p_{t_0} = \ \ 2p & p_{t_1} = 2p_1 - 2fp & p_{t_2} = 2(f^2p - fp_1 - f_1p_1 - p^2q - pp_1q_1 + p_2) \\ q_{t_0} = -2q & q_{t_1} = -2q_{-1} + 2f_{-1}q & q_{1,t_2} = 2(f_{-1}q + fq - f^2q_1 + pqq_1 + p_1q_1^2 - q_{-1}) \\ f_{t_0} = 0 & f_{t_1} = 2p_1q_1 - 2pq & f_{t_2} = 2(\mathcal{S}-1)(pq_{-1} + p_1q - (f_{-1}+f)pq) \end{array} \tag{24}$$

System (23) and its symmetries (24) have first integral $\Phi = f - pq_{-1}$, so that $\Phi_{t_k} = 0$. Indeed, $\det M(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}_1,f;\lambda) = \lambda + f - pq_1$ should be a constant (does not depend on x, t_k) because the matrices U, V^k are traceless (Abel's Theorem). Thus one can set $f_k = p_kq_{k+1} + \alpha_k$, where $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant. We can eliminate f from the system (23) and it leads to

$$p_x = 2p_1 - 2p^2q_1 - 2\alpha p, \qquad q_x = -2q_{-1} + 2p_{-1}q^2 + 2\alpha_{-1}q, \tag{25}$$

whose symmetries can be obtained from (24) by the same elimination of f. When $\alpha_k = 0$, equation (25) becomes the Merola-Ragnisco-Tu lattice under an invertible transformation $x = \frac{1}{2}t$, $p = u$ and $q_1 = v$ listed in Section 4.10.

2.2 Equations corresponding to the dihedral reduction group $\mathbb{D}_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

Integrability of the equation

$$\begin{array}{l} 2p_t = \ \ p_{xx} + 4q_x - 8(p^2q)_x \\ 2q_t = -q_{xx} + 4p_x - 8(q^2p)_x \end{array} \tag{26}$$

has been established in [20]. This equation can be seen as a non-trivial inhomogeneous deformation of the *derivative nonlinear Schrödinger* equation. The corresponding Lax pair

$$L(\mathbf{u};\lambda) = D_x - V^1(\mathbf{u};\lambda), \qquad A(\mathbf{u};\lambda) = D_t - V^2(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$$

has the matrix part of the form

$$V^1(\mathbf{u};\lambda) = 2p\mathbf{a}_1(\lambda) + 2q\mathbf{a}_2(\lambda) + 2\mathbf{a}_3(\lambda) \tag{27}$$

$$V^2(\mathbf{u};\lambda) = w(\lambda)V^1(\mathbf{u};\lambda) + \frac{p_x - 4p^2q}{2}\mathbf{a}_1(\lambda) - \frac{q_x + 4q^2p}{2}\mathbf{a}_2(\lambda) - 2pq\mathbf{a}_3(\lambda) \tag{28}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}_1(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{a}_2(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1} \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{a}_3(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

Matrices $V^k(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$ are invariant with respect to the group of transformation (the reduction group [8])

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} V^k(\mathbf{u};-\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = V^k(\mathbf{u};\lambda), \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^k(\mathbf{u};\lambda^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = V^k(\mathbf{u};\lambda),$$

which is isomorphic to the dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (the group of Klein). Function

$$w(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}}{2} \tag{30}$$

is a primitive automorphic function of the corresponding Möbius group ($w(\lambda) = w(-\lambda) = w(\lambda^{-1})$) and any rational automorphic function of this group is a rational function of $w(\lambda)$ [3]. A hierarchy of higher symmetries of equation (26) can be generated by the Lax operators $A^k = D_{t_k} - V^k$, where the matrices V^k are of the form

$$V^{k+1} = w(\lambda)V^k + r_1^k\mathbf{a}_1(\lambda) + r_2^k\mathbf{a}_2(\lambda) + r_3^k\mathbf{a}_3(\lambda) \tag{31}$$

and the coefficients r_1^k, r_2^k, r_3^k are polynomials in p, q and their x-derivatives and they can be found recursively.

It has been shown in [27] that an elementary Darboux matrix for the Lax operator (27) can be written in the form

$$M(p,q_1,f,g;\lambda) = f(w(\lambda)\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{a}_3(\lambda) + p\mathbf{a}_1(\lambda) + q_1\mathbf{a}_2(\lambda) + g\mathbf{I}) \tag{32}$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is a unit matrix. Matrices V^k are all traceless and therefore the determinant

$$\det M(p,q_1,f,g;\lambda) = f^2\left(2w(\lambda)(g-pq_1) + 1 + g^2 - p^2 - q_1^2\right) = 2w(\lambda)\Phi^1 + \Phi^2$$

does not depend on x and t_k. Thus we have two invariants

$$\Phi^1 = f^2(g-pq_1), \qquad \Phi^2 = f^2(1+g^2-p^2-q_1^2)\,. \tag{33}$$

By choosing an appropriate scaling $M \to \gamma M$ we can make $\Phi^1 = 1$ (if $\Phi^1 \neq 0$) or $\Phi^2 = 1$ (if $\Phi^2 \neq 0$). There are three essentially different cases [27]:

I. $\Phi^1 = 0,\ \Phi^2 = 1$, in this case the determinant is a constant (does not depend on λ);

II. $\Phi^1 = 1,\ \Phi^2 = \pm 2$, in this case the determinant has two double zeroes and is a square of a rational function of λ;

III. $\Phi^1 = 1,\ \Phi^2 = 2\alpha$, the determinant has four distinct zeros in the complex plane λ (assuming that $\alpha \neq \pm 1$).

In the case I we have

$$g = pq_1 \tag{34}$$

and f can be found as a solution of equation $f^2(1-p^2)(1-q_1^2) = 1$. Thus we can determine the matrix $M(p,q_1,f,pq_1;\lambda)$ in (32). Substituting it and $V^1(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$ given by (27) instead of $U(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$ into (10) leads to the system

$$p_x = 2(1-p^2)(q_1-q), \qquad q_x = 2(1-q^2)(p-p_{-1}), \tag{35}$$

which can be written as a scalar equation for one function $v_{2n} = p_n,\ v_{2n-1} = q_n$

$$v_x = (1-v^2)(v_1 - v_{-1}). \tag{36}$$

In the case II we shall take $2\Phi^1 + \Phi^2 = 0$ (the other choice of the sign would eventually lead to a point equivalent system). We have

$$2\Phi^1 + \Phi^2 = f^2(1+g+p+q_1)(1+g-p-q_1) = 0$$

Let us choose $1+g+p+q_1 = 0$ (the second choice $1+g-p-q_1 = 0$ would lead to a point equivalent system). Then the substitution of $M(p,q_1,f,-p-q_1-1;\lambda)$ and $V^1(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$ given by (27) instead of $U(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$ into (10) leads to the system

$$p_x = 2(1+p)(\mathcal{S}-1)(q-p-pq), \qquad q_{1,x} = 2(1+q_1)(\mathcal{S}-1)(p-q-pq). \tag{37}$$

In the generic case III of the elementary Darboux transformation we have

$$\Phi^2 - 2\alpha\Phi^1 = (g^2 - 2\alpha g - p^2 - q_1^2 + 2\alpha pq_1 + 1)f^2 = 0, \qquad f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g-pq_1}}. \tag{38}$$

The substitution of $M(p,q_1,f,g;\lambda)$ and $V^1(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$ given by (27) instead of $U(\mathbf{u};\lambda)$ into (10) leads to the system

$$\begin{aligned} p_x &= 2g(p_1-p) + 2q_1 - 2q - 2p(p_1q_1 - pq),\\ q_{1,x} &= 2g(q_1-q) + 2p_1 - 2p - 2q_1(p_1q_1 - pq),\\ g_x &= 2p(p_1-p) + 2q_1(q_1-q) - 2g(p_1q_1 - pq),\\ f_x &= 2f(p_1q_1 - pq). \end{aligned}$$

The invariants Φ^1, Φ^2 are the first integrals of this system. Thus the functions f and g can be eliminated using the first integrals (38). Note that in (38) the parameter α is a constant in x, t_k, but it may depend on the shift variable, so that $\mathcal{S}(\alpha) = \alpha_1$.

3 Recursion operators for differential-difference equations

In this section we show how to derive a recursion operator using a Darboux-Lax representation for a differential-difference equation. Our construction is a natural generalisation of the method used in the theory of integrable PDEs [24, 28, 29, 30, 31]. The main idea of the method is based on the fact that matrices $V^k(\mathbf{u},\lambda)$ of the operators $A^k = D_{t_k} - V^k(\mathbf{u},\lambda)$ corresponding to a hierarchy can be related as

$$V^{k+1}(\mathbf{u},\lambda) = \mu(\lambda)V^k(\mathbf{u},\lambda) + B^k(\mathbf{u},\lambda), \tag{39}$$

where $\mu(\lambda)$ is a rational (elliptic in the case of the Landau-Lifshitz equation) multiplier and $B^k(\mathbf{u},\lambda)$ is a rational matrix with a fixed (i.e. k independent) divisor of poles. If the system and its Lax representation is obtained as a result of a reduction with a reduction group G, then the multiplier $\mu(\lambda)$ is a primitive automorphic function [3] of a finite reduction group, or in the elliptic case is one of the generators of the G-invariant subring of the coordinate ring [29]. The matrix $B^k(\mathbf{u},\lambda)$ also depends on the dependent variables $\mathbf{u}$ and its x-derivatives.
The substitution of (39) in the Lax representation $[L, A^k] = 0$ (6) results in

$$D_{t_{k+1}}(L) = \mu(\lambda)D_{t_k}(L) - B^kL + LB^k. \tag{40}$$

One can use equation (40) to find B^k in terms of variables $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{t_k}, \mathbf{u}_{t_{k+1}}$ and x derivatives of $\mathbf{u}$. Then equation (40) can be regarded as a recursive relation $\mathbf{u}_{t_{k+1}} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u}_{t_k})$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a linear pseudo-differential recursion operator mapping a symmetry to a new symmetry. A recursion operator can be related to bi-Hamiltonian structure. Indeed, if $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are two compatible Hamiltonian operators, then $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2^{-1}$ is a Nijenhuis recursion operator [32, 11]. The sufficient condition for $\mathcal{R}$ to be a recursion operator is [33]

$$D_{t_k}(\mathcal{R}) = [\mathbf{F}^k_*, \mathcal{R}],$$

where $\mathbf{F}^k_*$ is the Fréchet derivatives of $\mathbf{F}^k$.
A similar construction can be used in the differential difference case [13]. The substitution of (39) in the Darboux-Lax representation (11) results in

$$D_{t_{k+1}}(M) = \mu(\lambda)D_{t_k}(M) - \mathcal{S}(B^k)M + MB^k. \tag{41}$$

Equation (41) enables us to express the entries of the matrix B^k in terms of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{t_k}, \mathbf{u}_{t_{k+1}}$ and their $\mathcal{S}$-shifts. It enables us to find a linear pseudo-difference operator $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_{t_{k+1}} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u}_{t_k})$, i.e. a recursion operator for a differential-difference hierarchy of commuting symmetries. Like the differential case, if we know two compatible Hamiltonian operators $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ or a compatible pair of a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and a symplectic $\mathcal{I}$ operators then $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$ are recursion operators. From this construction it follows that a Darboux matrix M and a multiplier $\mu(\lambda)$ defines a recursion operator completely and uniquely.
In this section we shall illustrate this construction on a few examples. In the next section we shall present an extensive (but far not complete) list of integrable differential-difference equations with recursion operators, multi-Hamiltonian structure and Darboux-Lax representations.

3.1 Differential difference equations from the NLS equation

We are going to illustrate the construction using the Darboux matrices M and N (18) related to the NLS equation. In this case the multiplier $\mu(\lambda) = \lambda$ (15) and the matrix B^k does not depend on the spectral parameter λ.
(N): Let us construct a recursion operator for the Toda lattice (20) using the Darboux matrix N (22) and the multiplier $\mu(\lambda) = \lambda$. We substitute

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \tag{42}$$

in the equation

$$D_{t_{k+1}}(N) = \lambda D_{t_k}(N) - \mathcal{S}(B)N + NB. \tag{43}$$

Linear part in λ of (43) leads to the system of equations

$$(\mathcal{S} - 1)a = h_{t_k}, \quad b = -\phi_{t_k}\exp(\phi), \quad c_1 = -\phi_{t_k}\exp(-\phi).$$

Thus we can find all coefficients $a = (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}h_{t_k},\ b = -\exp(\phi)\phi_{t_k},\ c = -\exp(-\phi_{-1})\mathcal{S}^{-1}\phi_{t_k}$ of the matrix B. Then the λ independent part of (43) reduces to equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{t_{k+1}} &= bh - a - a_1 = -(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}h_{t_k} - h\phi_{t_k},\\
h_{t_{k+1}} &= (a-a_1)h + c\exp(\phi) - b_1\exp(-\phi) = -hh_{t_k} - \exp(\phi-\phi_{-1})\mathcal{S}^{-1}\phi_{t_k} + \exp(\phi_1-\phi)\mathcal{S}\phi_{t_k},
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to a recursion

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{t_{k+1}} \\ h_{t_{k+1}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{t_k} \\ h_{t_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the pseudo difference operator

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} -h & -(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \\ \exp(\phi_1-\phi)\mathcal{S} - \exp(\phi-\phi_{-1})\mathcal{S}^{-1} & -h \end{pmatrix}. \tag{44}$$

Starting from the seed symmetry $\phi_{t_0} = -2,\ h_{t_0} = 0$ one can recursively produce the hierarchy of symmetries (21).

Similar to the case of scalar discrete equations [11, 34], the canonical series of the densities of local conservation laws can be found taking residues $\rho_k = \operatorname{res}\mathcal{R}^k$. In the case of matrix pseudo–difference operator $\mathcal{A}$ a residue res$\mathcal{A}$ is defined as follows:

Any pseudo–difference operator $\mathcal{A}$ can be uniquely represented by its Laurent series

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^{m-k}\mathcal{S}^{m-k},$$

then the residue is defined as $\operatorname{res}\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{trace}(\mathcal{A}^0)$. For example, we rewrite the recursion operator (44) as

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \exp(\phi_1-\phi) & 0 \end{pmatrix}\mathcal{S} + \begin{pmatrix} -h & -1 \\ 0 & -h \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -\exp(\phi-\phi_{-1}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}\mathcal{S}^{-1} + \cdots$$

It follows that

$$\rho_1 = \operatorname{res}\mathcal{R} = -2h,\ \rho_2 = \operatorname{res}\mathcal{R}^2 = 2h^2 - 2\exp(\phi_1-\phi) - 2\exp(\phi-\phi_{-1}), \ldots\ ,$$

are conserved densities for the Toda lattice (20). Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_x\rho_1 &= -4(\mathcal{S}-1)\exp(\phi-\phi_{-1});\\
D_x\rho_2 &= 4(\mathcal{S}-1)\left(\exp(\phi-\phi_{-1})(h_{-1}+h)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(M): Now we take the Darboux matrix M (18) and the matrix B of the form (42). From the linear part in λ of the equation

$$D_{t_{k+1}}(M) = \lambda D_{t_k}(M) - \mathcal{S}(B)M + MB \tag{45}$$

it follows that

$$a = (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}f_{t_k}, \quad b = -p_{t_k}, \quad c = q_{t_k}.$$

The λ independent part of (45) leads to the recursion relation for the system (23)

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{t_{k+1}} \\ p_{t_{k+1}} \\ q_{t_{k+1}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -ff_{t_k} + \mathcal{S}(qp_{t_k}) + pq_{t_k}, \\ -2p(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}f_{t_k} - f_{t_k}p - fp_{t_k} + \mathcal{S}(p_{t_k}), \\ 2q(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}f_{t_k} - q\mathcal{S}^{-1}(f_{t_k}) + \mathcal{S}^{-1}q_{t_k} - f_{-1}q_{t_k} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} f_{t_k} \\ p_{t_k} \\ q_{t_k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{46}$$

where a pseudo-difference recursion operator is of the form

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} -f & q_1\mathcal{S} & p \\ -p & -f+\mathcal{S} & 0 \\ -q\mathcal{S}^{-1} & 0 & -f_{-1}+\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2p \\ 2q \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}(1\ 0\ 0).$$

Using the first integral of the system (23) and eliminating $f_k = p_k q_{k+1} + \alpha_k$ we obtain the corresponding recursion relation and operator for the system (25) as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{t_{k+1}} \\ q_{t_{k+1}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}' \begin{pmatrix} p_{t_k} \\ q_{t_k} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{47}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} - 2pq_1 - \alpha & -p^2\mathcal{S} - 2pp_{-1} \\ -q^2\mathcal{S}^{-1} & \mathcal{S}^{-1} - \alpha_{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -2p \\ 2q \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}(q_1,\ p_{-1}).$$

As we point out that equation (25) is related to the Merola-Ragnisco-Tu lattice, we can check that we obtain the same operator from the recursion operator for the Merola-Ragnisco-Tu lattice in Section 4.10 using formula (58).

3.2 Difference equation corresponding to the dihedral reduction group

In this section, we demonstrate how to compute a recursion operator for equation (37), whose Lax representation is invariant under the dihedral reduction group.
After a simple change of variables $p \to p - 1, q \to q - 1$ equation (37) becomes

$$p_x = 2p(\mathcal{S} - 1)(2q - pq), \qquad q_{1,x} = 2q_1(\mathcal{S} - 1)(2p - pq). \tag{48}$$

This equation is the relativistic Volterra lattice in Section 4.9 under the scaling transformation

$$p = -2v, \quad q = -2u, \quad x = -\frac{t}{4}\,. \tag{49}$$

The corresponding Darboux matrix and the multiplier are

$$M = f\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + 1 - p - q_1 & \lambda(p-1) + \lambda^{-1}(q_1 - 1) \\ \lambda(q_1 - 1) + \lambda^{-1}(p-1) & \lambda^{-2} + 1 - p - q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2}). \tag{50}$$

This information is sufficient to find a recursion operator, equation (48) itself and its hierarchy of local symmetries. It follows from

$$\det M = -(\lambda - \lambda^{-1})^2 f^2 p q_1$$

that $f^2 p q_1$ does not depend on x (Abel's Theorem). Thus we can set $f = (pq_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
Expression (31) suggests that

$$B = a\mathbf{a}_1 + b\mathbf{a}_2 + c\mathbf{a}_3\,.$$

Now in equation (41) the right and left hand sides are rational matrix functions in λ. The left hand side has only simple poles. Vanishing the coefficients at the third order poles in λ^{-3} in the right hand side is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \tfrac{1}{2}(c + c_1)(p - 1) + \tfrac{(p-1)p\mathcal{S}q_{t_k} - (p+1)q_1 p_{t_k}}{4pq_1}, \\ b_1 &= \tfrac{1}{2}(c + c_1)(q_1 - 1) + \tfrac{(q_1+1)p\mathcal{S}q_{t_k} + (1-q_1)q_1 p_{t_k}}{4pq_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The second order poles vanish iff

$$c - c_1 = \frac{q_1 p_{t_k} + p q_{1,t_k}}{2pq_1}\,. \tag{52}$$

Now we can simplify (51) by eliminating c_1 and c_{-1} from a, b

$$\begin{aligned} a &= c(p - 1) - \tfrac{1}{2}p_{t_k}, \\ b &= c(q - 1) + \tfrac{1}{2}q_{t_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The first order poles in equation (41) vanishes if

$$\begin{aligned} p_{t_{k+1}} = \quad & cp(\mathcal{S} - 1)(pq - 2q) + (p - q_1 - 1 - \tfrac{1}{2}p_1 q_1)p_{t_k} - \tfrac{1}{2}pq_1\mathcal{S}p_{t_k} + \\ & (p - \tfrac{1}{2}p^2)q_{t_k} + (p - \tfrac{1}{2}pp_1)\mathcal{S}q_{t_k}, \\ q_{t_{k+1}} = \quad & cq(\mathcal{S} - 1)(p_{-1}q_{-1} - 2p_{-1}) + (q + p_{-1} - 1 - \tfrac{1}{2}p_{-1}q_{-1})q_{t_k} - \tfrac{1}{2}p_{-1}q\mathcal{S}^{-1}q_{t_k} + \\ & (q - \tfrac{1}{2}q^2)p_{t_k} + (q - \tfrac{1}{2}qq_{-1})\mathcal{S}^{-1}p_{t_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The λ independent part of equation (41) is satisfied after the substitution of (52), (53) and (54) in (41).
Equation (54) together with (52) is a recurrence relation. The differential-difference equation (a seed symmetry) $p_x = 2K_p^{(1)},\ q_x = 2K_q^{(1)}$ (48) can be recovered from this recursion by taking the derivative of the right-hand side of equations (54) with respect to c, that is,

$$(K_p^{(1)},\ K_q^{(1)}) = (p(\mathcal{S}-1)(pq-2q),\ q(\mathcal{S}-1)(p_{-1}q_{-1}-2p_{-1})).$$

It is not surprising. Indeed, in order to solve equation (52) to find c we need to invert the difference operator $\mathcal{S}-1$, whose kernel is a field of constants. As a result, the vector $p_x,\ q_x$ may contribute to $p_{t_{k+1}}, q_{t_{k+1}}$ with an arbitrary constant coefficient.
We now write explicitly down the recursion operator corresponding to the recursion (54) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R} = &\begin{pmatrix} p+q_1-1-\frac{1}{2}p_1q_1-\frac{1}{2}pq_1\mathcal{S} & p-\frac{1}{2}p^2+(p-\frac{1}{2}pp_1)\mathcal{S} \\ q-\frac{1}{2}q^2+(q-\frac{1}{2}qq_{-1})\mathcal{S}^{-1} & q+p_{-1}-1-\frac{1}{2}p_{-1}q_{-1}-\frac{1}{2}p_{-1}q\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &-\begin{pmatrix} p(\mathcal{S}-1)(pq-2q) \\ q(\mathcal{S}-1)(p_{-1}q_{-1}-2p_{-1}) \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}(\frac{1}{2p}\ \ \frac{1}{2q_1}\mathcal{S})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Obviously $\mathcal{R}+I$, where I is the 2×2 identity matrix, is also a recursion operator, which can be recovered from the recursion operator for the relativistic Volterra lattice listed in Section 4.9 using the transformation (49).

4 A List of Integrable Differential-Difference Equations

In this section, we present a long list of integrable differential difference equations with their Hamiltonian structures, recursion operators, nontrivial generalised symmetries and their Lax representations. To be self-contained, we introduce notations and remind some definitions of the objects in our list in terms of the Lie derivatives. The theoretic background and detail definitions of the Hamiltonian and symplectic operators can be found in monographs [35, 36]. Here we would like to mention that there are some recent developments in the theory of nonlocal Hamiltonian structures for nonlinear partial differential equations in [37].
Let $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, \ldots, u^N)$ be a vector-valued function of variable $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and time variable t. An evolutionary differential-difference equation of dependent variable $\mathbf{u}$ is of the form

$$\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{u}], \tag{56}$$

where $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{u}]$ means that the smooth vector-valued function $\mathbf{K}$ depends on $\mathbf{u}$ and its shifts $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathcal{S}^i\mathbf{u}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In all our examples after an appropriate point change of variables we can consider $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{u}] \in \mathcal{F}^N$ where $\mathcal{F} = (\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{S})$ is a difference field of rational functions of $\{u_k^i \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \ldots, N\}$. A Fréchet derivative $a_\star$ of $a \in \mathcal{F}$ is defined as a row vector of the difference operators

$$a_\star = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{\partial a}{\partial u_k^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial a}{\partial u_k^N})\mathcal{S}^k,$$

thus the Fréchet derivative of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{u}]$ is a difference operator with square matrix coefficients and the entries of the coefficient matrices are elements of $\mathcal{F}$.
A variational derivative of $a \in \mathcal{F}$ is a column vector

$$\delta_{\mathbf{u}}(a) := a_\star^\dagger(1) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^N}\right)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{S}^k(a).$$

If equation (56) is Hamiltonian, then it can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{u}_t = \mathcal{H}(\delta_{\mathbf{u}}(f))$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes a Hamiltonian (pseudo-)difference operator and f is a Hamiltonian function (or the Hamiltonian of the system).

Definition 1 *Given a differential–difference evolutionary equation (56), we define that*

- $\mathbf{G}$ *is its symmetry if* $L_{\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{G} := [\mathbf{K},\mathbf{G}] := \mathbf{G}_\star(\mathbf{K}) - \mathbf{K}_\star(\mathbf{G}) = 0$;
- $\mathcal{H}$ *is its Hamiltonian operator if a Hamiltonian operator* $\mathcal{H}$ *satisfies*
$$L_{\mathbf{K}}\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_\star[\mathbf{K}] - \mathbf{K}_\star\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}\mathbf{K}_\star^\dagger = 0;$$
- $\mathcal{I}$ *is its symplectic operator if a symplectic operator* $\mathcal{I}$ *satisfies*
$$L_{\mathbf{K}}\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_\star[\mathbf{K}] + \mathbf{K}_\star^\dagger\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}\mathbf{K}_\star = 0;$$
- $\mathcal{R}$ *is its recursion operator if* $L_{\mathbf{K}}\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_\star[\mathbf{K}] - \mathbf{K}_\star\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}\mathbf{K}_\star = 0$.

Here $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ *denotes the Lie derivative,* $\star$ *means the Fréchet derivative and* $\dagger$ *means the formal conjugation of the difference operator.*

If a symmetry of equation (56) is explicitly dependent on $\mathbf{u}_i$ with $i \neq 0$, we say it is a generalised symmetry. An equation is integrable if it possesses infinitely many generalised symmetries depending on finite sets of variables $\mathbf{u}_i$, whose sizes are increasing. Symmetries of an integrable systems can be generated by a recursion operator which is often nonlocal. Sufficient conditions on nonlocal pseudo-difference recursion operators which guarantee the production of an infinite hierarchy of commuting local symmetries have been discussed in [11]. In the list, we also give partial results on master symmetries (see [38, 39] for more details on master symmetries).

We now look at how the recursion, Hamiltonian and symplectic operators change under transformations (difference substitutions). If an evolutionary difference equation (56) is connected by a difference substitution $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{v}],\ \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{v}] \in \mathcal{F}$ with another equation of the form

$$\mathbf{v}_t = \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{v}] \tag{57}$$

then recursion, Hamiltonian and symplectic operators ($\hat{\mathcal{R}}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$) for the equation (57) can be expressed in terms of the corresponding operators ($\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{I}$) of the equation (56)

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbf{F}_\star^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{v}]} \circ \mathbf{F}_\star, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{F}_\star^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{v}]} \circ {\mathbf{F}_\star^\dagger}^{-1}, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbf{F}_\star^\dagger \circ \mathcal{I}|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{v}]} \circ \mathbf{F}_\star \tag{58}$$

where $\circ$ denotes the composition of the operators.

Example 1 *It is known that the Volterra equation* $u_t = u(u_1 - u_{-1})$ *(section 4.1) can be related to the modified Volterra equation*

$$v_t = v^2(v_1 - v_{-1}) \tag{59}$$

by a difference substitution (a Miura type transformation) $u = F[v] = vv_1$. *Operator* $\mathcal{H}_1 = u(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u$ *is a Hamiltonian operator for the Volterra equation. Notice that the Fréchet derivative for* vv_1 *is* $F_\star = v_1 + v\mathcal{S}$, *and we have* $F_\star^{-1}u = v(1+\mathcal{S})^{-1}$ *and* $u{F_\star^\dagger}^{-1} = \mathcal{S}(1+\mathcal{S})^{-1}v$. *Now we can find the Hamiltonian operator* $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ *for the modified Volterra equation (59)*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 &= (v_1 + v\mathcal{S})^{-1} vv_1 \left(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}\right) vv_1 (v_1 + v\mathcal{S})^{-1}\\ &= v(1+\mathcal{S})^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^2 - 1)(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}v\\ &= v(\mathcal{S}-1)(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}v\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can compute the second Hamiltonian operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2$ *and the recursion operator* $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ *for the modified Volterra equation (compare with section 4.2).*

In Section 2 we discussed that the compatibility of a Darboux map (8) with the Lax operator (9)

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi) = M\Phi, \qquad D_t(\Phi) = U(\mathbf{u};\lambda)\Phi \tag{60}$$

yields (10)

$$D_t(M) = \mathcal{S}(U)\, M - M\, U, \tag{61}$$

which is equivalent to an integrable system of differential-difference equations. In literature the compatibility condition (61) is often called a *zero curvature representation* or *Lax representation* of equation (56). Since it involves a Darboux matrix and a Lax operator, it is more appropriate to call it a *Darboux-Lax representation*. However, we still call it a *Lax representation* to be consistent with the literature. In the following list, we simply give the expressions of both matrices M and U for Lax representations.
There are many publications where an integrable system emerges as a compatibility condition of two linear problems with scalar linear difference operators L and A

$$L\phi = \lambda\phi, \qquad \phi_t = A\phi, \tag{62}$$

where ϕ is an eigenfunction of L corresponding to eigenvalue λ and $\lambda_t = 0$. Equation (56) is equivalent to their compatibility condition

$$D_t(L) = [A,\ L] = A\,L - L\,A. \tag{63}$$

This approach really resembles the original Lax formulation where differential operators are just replaced by difference ones. In the theory of ordinary differential equations a scalar high order equation can be represented in the form of a system of first order equations. Similar we can do in the case of scalar difference equations of high order and represent them by a first order difference systems. In this way we can re-write a scalar representation (62), (63) in the form of a first order matrix Darboux-Lax representation (60), (61).

Example 2 *For the Volterra Chain listed in section 4.1, its matrix Lax representation is obtained from the scalar Lax representation with* $L = \mathcal{S} + u\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ *and* $A = \mathcal{S}^2 + u_1 + u$.
Let $\Phi = (\phi^1,\ \phi^2)^T = (\phi,\ -\mathcal{S}^{-1}\phi)^T$. *We can rewrite* $L\phi = \lambda\phi$ *in (62) as*

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi) = \mathcal{S}\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ -\phi_{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}\phi \\ -\phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & u \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ -\phi_{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

that is, $\mathcal{S}(\phi^1) = \lambda\phi^1 + u\phi^2$ *and* $\mathcal{S}(\phi^2) = -\phi^1$. *Now* $\phi_t = A\phi$ *can be rewritten as*

$$\phi^1_t = \mathcal{S}^2\phi^1 + (u_1 + u)\phi^1 = \mathcal{S}(\lambda\phi^1 + u\phi^2) + (u_1 + u)\phi^1 = \lambda\mathcal{S}\phi^1 + u\phi^1 = (\lambda^2 + u)\phi^1 + \lambda u\phi^2$$

and

$$\phi^2_t = -\mathcal{S}^{-1}\phi^1_t = -(\lambda\phi^1 + u\phi^2 + (u_{-1} + u)\mathcal{S}^{-1}\phi^1) = -\lambda\phi^1 + u_{-1}\phi^2.$$

Thus

$$D_t(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^1_t \\ \phi^2_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + u & \lambda u \\ -\lambda & u_{-1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \phi^1 \\ \phi^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In our list we present either scalar or matrix Lax representation.
The reader should bear in mind that

- Relation between nonlocal difference operators, e.g. (67), should be understood as identities in the non-commutative field of pseudo-difference Laurent series.
- In the computations with pseudo-difference operators, we often use identities similar to "integration by parts":

$$(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}(f_1 - f)(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} = f(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} - (\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}f_1$$

- Since for any constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $(\mathcal{S} - 1)c = 0$, the action of operators involving $(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}$ is not uniquely defined. The corresponding results given in the list are up to these "integration constants".

4.1 The Volterra Chain

- Equation [10]:

$$u_t = u(u_1 - u_{-1}) \tag{64}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [40, 41]: $u_t = H_i \delta_u f_i$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}_1 = u(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u, \qquad f_1 = u \\ &\mathcal{H}_2 = u(\mathcal{S}u\mathcal{S} + u\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}u - u\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}u - \mathcal{S}^{-1}u\mathcal{S}^{-1})u, \qquad f_2 = \frac{1}{2}\ln u \end{aligned}$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_1^{-1} &= u\mathcal{S} + u + u_1 + u\mathcal{S}^{-1} + u(u_1 - u_{-1})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u} \\ &= u(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u\left(\frac{1}{u}(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} + \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry [41, 42]:

$$\mathcal{R}(u_t) = u\left(u_1u_2 + u_1^2 + uu_1 - uu_{-1} - u_{-1}^2 - u_{-1}u_{-2}\right)$$

- Master symmetry [41, 38, 43]:

$$\mathcal{R}(u) = nu_t + u(2u_1 + u + u_{-1})$$

- Lax representation [44]:

$$L = \mathcal{S} + u\mathcal{S}^{-1}, \qquad A = \mathcal{S}^2 + u_1 + u$$

  It can also be written in the matrix form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & u \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + u & \lambda u \\ -\lambda & u_{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{65}$$

This equation is also known as Lotka-Volterra model, the Kac-van Moerbeke lattice or the Langmuir lattice [45]. The so-called Kac-Moerbeke-Langmuir equation [40]

$$w_\tau = w(w_1^\epsilon - w_{-1}^\epsilon), \qquad \epsilon \neq 0 \text{ is a constant.}$$

is related to (64) by the point transformation $u = w^\epsilon$ and $t = \epsilon\tau$. This equation is also written as

$$w_t = \exp(w + w_1) - \exp(w + w_{-1}),$$

which can be transformed into (64) by the transformation $u = \exp(w + w_1)$.

4.2 Modified Volterra equation

- Equation [46, 42]:

$$u_t = u^2(u_1 - u_{-1})$$

- Hamiltonian structure [47, 42]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}_1 = u(\mathcal{S} - 1)(\mathcal{S} + 1)^{-1}u, \qquad f_1 = uu_1 \\ &\mathcal{H}_2 = u^2(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u^2, \qquad f_2 = \ln u \end{aligned}$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_1^{-1} = u^2\mathcal{S} + 2uu_1 + u^2\mathcal{S}^{-1} + 2u^2(u_1 - u_{-1})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry [42]:

$$\mathcal{R}(u_t) = u^2u_1^2(u_2 + u) - u^2u_{-1}^2(u + u_{-2})$$

- Master symmetry [42]:

$$\mathcal{R}(\frac{u}{2}) = nu_t + \frac{u^2}{2}(3u_1 + u_{-1})$$

- Lax representation [25]:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ -u & \lambda \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} uu_{-1} & \lambda u_{-1} \\ -\lambda u & \lambda^2 + uu_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Modified Volterra equation is also known as discrete modified Korteweg-de Vries equation. Under the Miura transformation $w = uu_1$ it can be transformed into the Volterra Chain $w_t = w(w_1 - w_{-1})$ as in section 4.1.

4.3 Yamilov's discretisation of the Krichever-Novikov equation

- Equation [48]:

$$u_t = \frac{R(u_1, u, u_{-1})}{u_1 - u_{-1}} := K^{(1)},$$

  where R is the polynomial with constant coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$ defined by

$$R(u, v, w) = (\alpha v^2 + 2\beta v + \gamma)uw + (\beta v^2 + \lambda v + \delta)(u + w) + \gamma v^2 + 2\delta v + \epsilon\,. \tag{66}$$

- Two non-trivial symmetries [49, 50, 51, 34, 11, 52]:

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(2)} &= \frac{R(u, u_{-1}, u)R(u_1, u, u_1)}{(u_1 - u_{-1})^2}\Big(\frac{1}{u_2 - u} + \frac{1}{u - u_{-2}}\Big); \\ K^{(3)} &= \frac{R(u_1, u, u_1)R(u, u_{-1}, u)}{(u_1 - u_{-1})^2}\Big(\frac{\mathcal{S}^2K^{(1)}}{(u_2 - u)^2} + \frac{\mathcal{S}^{-2}K^{(1)}}{(u - u_{-2})^2}\Big) + K^{(1)}K^{(2)}\Big(\frac{1}{u_2 - u} + \frac{1}{u - u_{-2}}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [11, 52]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= A\,\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}\,A + 2\,K^{(1)}\,(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\mathcal{S}K^{(2)} + 2\,K^{(2)}\,(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}K^{(1)}; \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}} &= \hat{A}\,\mathcal{S}^2 - \mathcal{S}^{-2}\hat{A} + \hat{B}\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}\hat{B} + K^{(2)}\,(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}(\mathcal{S} + 1)K^{(2)} \\ &\quad + 2\,K^{(1)}\,(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\mathcal{S}K^{(3)} + 2\,K^{(3)}\,(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}K^{(1)}\,, \end{aligned}$$

  where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{R(u_2, u_1, u_2)R(u_1, u, u_1)R(u, u_{-1}, u)}{(u_1 - u_{-1})^2(u_2 - u)^2}; \\ \hat{A} &= \frac{R(u_3, u_2, u_3)R(u_2, u_1, u_2)R(u_1, u, u_1)R(u, u_{-1}, u)}{(u_1 - u_{-1})^2(u_2 - u)^2(u_3 - u_1)^2}; \\ \hat{B} &= 2A\left(\frac{K^{(1)}}{u - u_{-2}} - \frac{\partial_u R(u_1, u, u_1)}{2(u_1 - u_{-1})} + \frac{\partial^2 R(u_1, u, u_1)}{4\partial u \partial u_1}\right) + \frac{2R(u, u_{-1}, u)}{(u_1 - u_{-1})^2}\mathcal{S}\left(K^{(1)}K^{(2)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Symplectic operator:

$$\mathcal{I} \quad = \quad \frac{1}{R(u_1,u,u_1)}\,\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}^{-1}\,\frac{1}{R(u_1,u,u_1)}$$

- Recursion operator [11, 52]:

$$\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{R}}=\hat{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{I}$$

The recursion operators $\mathcal{R}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ satisfy the algebraic equation

$$(2\hat{\mathcal{R}}-I_3)^2=4(\mathcal{R}+I_2)^3-g_2(\mathcal{R}+I_2)-g_3, \tag{67}$$

where I_2, I_3, g_2 and g_3 are the relative and modular invariants related to $h=R(u_1,u,u_1)$ and a quartic polynomial $f(u)=(\partial_{u_1}h)^2-2h\partial_{u_1}^2h$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2 &= \frac{1}{48}\left(2ff^{IV}-2f'f'''+(f'')^2\right),\\
g_3 &= \frac{1}{3456}\left(12ff''f^{IV}-9(f')^2f^{IV}-6f(f''')^2+6f'f''f'''-2(f'')^3\right),\\
I_2 &= \frac{1}{6}\left(h\partial_u^2\partial_{u_1}^2h-(\partial_u h)(\partial_u\partial_{u_1}^2h)-(\partial_{u_1}h)(\partial_u^2\partial_{u_1}h)+(\partial_u^2h)(\partial_{u_1}^2h)\right)+\frac{1}{12}(\partial_u\partial_{u_1}h)^2,\\
I_3 &= \frac{1}{4}\det\begin{pmatrix} h & \partial_u h & \partial_u^2 h\\ \partial_{u_1}h & \partial_{u_1}\partial_u h & \partial_{u_1}\partial_u^2 h\\ \partial_{u_1}^2 h & \partial_{u_1}^2\partial_u h & \partial_{u_1}^2\partial_u^2 h\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.4 Integrable Volterra type equations

The classification of integrable Volterra type equations of the form

$$u_t=f(u_{-1},u,u_1),$$

where f is a smooth function of all its variables was obtained by Yamilov using the symmetry approach. In his remarkable review paper [42], he presented the following complete list of integrable Volterra-type equations (with higher order conservation laws) up to the point transformations:

V1. $$u_t=P(u)(u_1-u_{-1}) \tag{68}$$

V2. $$u_t=P(u^2)\left(\frac{1}{u_1+u}-\frac{1}{u+u_{-1}}\right) \tag{69}$$

V3. $$u_t=Q(u)\left(\frac{1}{u_1-u}+\frac{1}{u-u_{-1}}\right) \tag{70}$$

V4. $$u_t=\frac{R(u_1,u,u_{-1})+\nu R(u_1,u,u_1)^{1/2}R(u_{-1},u,u_{-1})^{1/2}}{u_1-u_{-1}}, \qquad \nu\in\{0,\pm1\} \tag{71}$$

V5. $$u_t=y(u_1-u)+y(u-u_{-1}), \qquad y'=P(y) \tag{72}$$

V6. $$u_t=y(u_1-u)\,y(u-u_{-1})+\mu, \qquad y'=\frac{P(y)}{y}, \quad \mu\in C \tag{73}$$

V7. $$u_t=\frac{1}{y(u_1-u)+y(u-u_{-1})}+\mu, \qquad y'=P(y^2) \quad \mu\in C \tag{74}$$

V8. $$u_t=\frac{1}{y(u_1+u)-y(u+u_{-1})}, \qquad y'=Q(y) \tag{75}$$

V9. $$u_t=\frac{y(u_1+u)-y(u+u_{-1})}{y(u_1+u)+y(u+u_{-1})}, \qquad y'=\frac{P(y^2)}{y} \tag{76}$$

V10. $$u_t=\frac{y(u_1+u)+y(u+u_{-1})}{y(u_1+u)-y(u+u_{-1})}, \qquad y'=\frac{Q(y)}{y} \tag{77}$$

V11. $$u_t=\frac{(1-y(u_1-u))(1-y(u-u_{-1}))}{y(u_1-u)+y(u-u_{-1})}+\mu, \qquad y'=\frac{P(y^2)}{1-y^2}, \quad \mu\in C, \tag{78}$$

where P and Q are polynomials with constant coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$ defined by

$$P(u) = \alpha u^2 + \beta u + \gamma, \tag{79}$$

$$Q(u) = \alpha u^4 + \beta u^3 + \gamma u^2 + \delta u + \epsilon, \tag{80}$$

and the polynomial R is defined by (66). As stated in the paper [42], the problem of constructing the generalized symmetries for all equations (V1)–(V11) remains open although the master symmetries for some forms of equations in the list are known [41, 38]. We know that the Miura transformation $\tilde{u} = y(u_1 - u)$ transforms equations (V5) and (V6) to (V1) and equations (V7) and (V11) into (V2), and the Miura transformation $\tilde{u} = y(u_1 + u)$ transforms equation (V9) to (V2) and equations (V8) and (V10) into (V3) [42]. In the follows, we present the recursion operators, Hamiltonian operators and master symmetries for the first four equations. The corresponding operators for other equations can be obtained via the Miura transformations.

4.4.1 V1 equation (68)

- Hamiltonian structure:

$$\mathcal{H} = P(u)(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1})P(u)$$

- Symplectic operator:

$$\alpha(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}) + (\alpha u_1 + \beta + \alpha u_{-1})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{P'(u)}{P(u)} + \frac{P'(u)}{P(u)}(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}(\alpha u_1 + \beta + \alpha u_{-1})$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\mathcal{R} = P(u)\mathcal{S} + 2\alpha u u_1 + \beta(u + u_1) + P(u)\mathcal{S}^{-1} + u_t(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{P'(u)}{P(u)}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\mathcal{R}(u_t) = P(u)\left(P(u_1)u_2 + \alpha u u_1^2 + \beta(u + u_1)u_1 - P(u_{-1})u_{-2} - \alpha u u_{-1}^2 - \beta(u + u_{-1})u_{-1}\right)$$

- Master symmetry:

$$nu_t + P(u)(cu_1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + (2 - c)u_{-1}), \ \ c \in C, \quad \text{when } \alpha \neq 0;$$

$$nu_t + P(u)(cu_1 + u + (3 - c)u_{-1}), \ \ c \in C, \quad \text{when } \alpha = 0$$

- Lax representation:
  - The case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ is a linear equation.
  - The case $\alpha = 0$, $\beta \neq 0$ reduces to the Volterra equation (64) by linear substitution $u \mapsto \beta^{-1}(u - \gamma)$. Thus it has the Lax representation (65).
  - In the case $\alpha \neq 0$ the following linear substitution $t \mapsto \alpha^{-1}t$ and $u \mapsto u - \beta/2$ transforms the polynomial $P(u)$ in **V1** to the form $P(u) = u^2 + c$, where $c = \gamma/\alpha - \beta^2/(4\alpha^2)$. The corresponding Lax representation is of the form [25]

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} c\lambda^{-1} & u \\ -u & \lambda \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} c^2\lambda^{-2} + uu_{-1} & c\lambda^{-1}u + \lambda u_{-1} \\ -c\lambda^{-1}u_{-1} - \lambda u & \lambda^2 + uu_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

This equation includes both Volterra chain in section 4.1 and modified Volterra equation in section 4.2. For nonlinear equation, that is, $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, its Hamiltonian f depends on the coefficients in polynomial P defined by (79). When $\alpha \neq 0$, we take $f = \frac{1}{2\alpha}\ln P(u)$, otherwise, we take $f = \frac{u}{\beta}$.

Its Hamiltonian operator, symplectic operator and recursion operator satisfy the following unexpected relation

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} = \alpha\mathcal{R}^2 + \beta^2\mathcal{R} + 2\gamma(\beta^2 - 2\alpha\gamma).$$

4.4.2 V2 equation (69)

- Hamiltonian structure:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{P(u^2)}{u_1 + u}\mathcal{S}\frac{P(u^2)}{u + u_{-1}} - \frac{P(u^2)}{u + u_{-1}}\mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{P(u^2)}{u_1 + u} - u_t(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}u_t$$

- Symplectic operator:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I} = {} & \frac{1}{(u+u_1)^2}\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{1}{(u+u_1)^2} + \delta_u\rho(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\delta_u\rho \\ & -(\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma)\frac{u}{P(u^2)}(\mathcal{S}-1)(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{u}{P(u^2)},\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{(u+u_1)^2}{P(u^2)}$ and thus

$$\delta_u\rho = \frac{1}{u_1 + u} + \frac{1}{u + u_{-1}} - \frac{P(u^2)'}{2P(u^2)}, \qquad P(u^2)' = 2u(2\alpha u^2 + \beta)$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R} = {} & \frac{P(u^2)}{(u_1+u)^2}\mathcal{S} + P(u^2)\left(\frac{1}{(u+u_1)^2} + \frac{2}{(u+u_1)(u+u_1)} - \frac{1}{(u+u_{-1})^2}\right) \\ & + P(u^2)'\left(\frac{1}{2u} - \frac{1}{u+u_1}\right) + \frac{P(u^2)}{(u+u_{-1})^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} + 2u_t(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\delta_u\rho\end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(u_t) = {} & \frac{P(u^2)P(u_1^2)}{(u+u_1)^2}\left(\frac{1}{u_1+u_2} - \frac{1}{u_1 - u_{-1}}\right) - \frac{P(u^2)P(u_{-1}^2)}{(u+u_{-1})^2}\left(\frac{1}{u_{-1}+u_{-2}} - \frac{1}{u_{-1}-u_1}\right) \\ & + 2P(u^2)\frac{\alpha u_1^2 u_{-1}^2 + \beta u_1 u_{-1} + \gamma}{(u+u_1)(u_1 - u_{-1})(u + u_{-1})}\end{aligned}$$

- Master symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} & n u_t + \frac{P(u^2)}{u + u_{-1}} - \alpha u^3 - \beta u, \quad \text{when } \gamma = 0, \\ & n u_t + \frac{P(u^2)}{u + u_{-1}} - \alpha u^3 - \frac{\beta}{2}u, \quad \text{when } \beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma = 0, \\ & n u_t + \frac{P(u^2)}{u + u_{-1}}, \quad \text{when } \alpha = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Here we only found the master symmetries for some special cases. For the given Hamiltonian operator above, we have $\mathcal{H}\delta_u\rho = \mathcal{R}(u_t)$. Besides, the Hamiltonian operator, symplectic operator and the recursion operator satisfy the following relation:

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{R}^2 - 2\beta\,\mathcal{R} + \beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma.$$

The Calogero-Degasperis Lattice [38]

$$u_t = \frac{1}{4}(1-u^2)(b^2 - a^2u^2)\left(\frac{1}{u_1 + u} - \frac{1}{u + u_{-1}}\right)$$

is one of special cases of the V2 equation. The authors of [38] presented its master symmetry by introducing the time dependence for the coefficients a and b, which is in different form from what we did.

4.4.3 V3 equation (70)

- Hamiltonian structure:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{Q(u)}{u_1 - u}\mathcal{S}\frac{Q(u)}{u - u_{-1}} - \frac{Q(u)}{u - u_{-1}}\mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{Q(u)}{u_1 - u} + u_t(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}u_t$$

- Symplectic operator:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I} = {} & \frac{1}{(u_1-u)^2}\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{1}{(u_1-u)^2} - \delta_u\rho(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\delta_u\rho\\ & -(2\alpha\gamma - \beta^2/4)\frac{u^2}{Q(u)}(\mathcal{S}-1)(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{u^2}{Q(u)} - \beta\delta\frac{u}{Q(u)}(\mathcal{S}-1)(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{u}{Q(u)}\\ & -(2\gamma\epsilon - \delta^2/4)\frac{1}{Q(u)}(\mathcal{S}-1)(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{1}{Q(u)}\\ & +(2\alpha\delta+\beta\gamma)(\frac{u^2}{Q(u)}(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{u}{Q(u)} - \frac{u}{Q(u)}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{u^2}{Q(u)})\\ & -(\beta\delta/2 - \gamma^2 - 4\alpha\epsilon)(\frac{u^2}{Q(u)}(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{1}{Q(u)} - \frac{1}{Q(u)}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{u^2}{Q(u)})\\ & +(\gamma\delta+2\beta\epsilon)(\frac{u}{Q(u)}(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{1}{Q(u)} - \frac{1}{Q(u)}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}\frac{u}{Q(u)}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{Q(u))}{(u_1-u)^2}$ and thus

$$\delta_u\rho = \frac{1}{u_1-u} - \frac{1}{u-u_{-1}} + \frac{Q'(u)}{2Q(u)}$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R} = {} & \frac{Q(u)}{(u_1-u)^2}\mathcal{S} + Q(u)\left(\frac{1}{(u-u_{-1})^2} + \frac{2}{(u_1-u)(u-u_{1})} - \frac{1}{(u_1-u)^2}\right)\\ & -\frac{Q'(u)}{u_1-u} - 2\alpha u^2 - \beta u - \gamma + \frac{Q(u)}{(u-u_{-1})^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} - 2u_t(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\delta_u\rho\end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(u_t) = {} & \frac{Q(u)Q(u_1)}{(u_1-u)^2(u_2-u_1)} + \frac{Q(u)Q(u_{-1})}{(u-u_{-1})^2(u_{-1}-u_{-2})} + \alpha Q(u)(u_1-u_{-1})\\ & +Q(u)\left(\frac{Q(u)}{(u_1-u)(u-u_{-1})} + 2\alpha u^2 + \beta u\right)\left(\frac{1}{u_1-u} + \frac{1}{u-u_{-1}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

- Master symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} & nu_t - \frac{Q(u)}{u+u_{-1}} + \alpha u^3 + \beta u^2 + \gamma u, \quad \text{when } \delta = \epsilon = 0,\\ & nu_t - \frac{Q(u)}{u+u_{-1}}, \quad \text{when } \alpha = \beta = 0\end{aligned}$$

Similar to V2 equation in section 4.4.2, we have not found the master symmetry valid for the polynomial Q (80) with arbitrary coefficients. However, if we look for the master symmetry of the form

$$nu_t - \frac{Q(u)}{u+u_{-1}} + \sum_{i=0}^{3} c_i u^i, \qquad c_i \in C.$$

we can determine the constants c_i for certain polynomial Q. Two examples are listed above.
Notice that $\mathcal{H}\delta_u\rho = \mathcal{R}(u_t)$ and the product of the Hamiltonian operator and symplectic operator gives rise of the square of the recursion operator, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{R}^2.$$

4.5 The Narita-Itoh-Bogoyavlensky lattice

- Equation [44, 53, 54]:

$$u_t = u(\sum_{k=1}^{p} u_k - \sum_{k=1}^{p} u_{-k}), \qquad p \in \mathbb{N}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [15]:

$$\mathcal{H} = u(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{S}^i - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{S}^{-i})u, \qquad f = u$$

- Recursion operator [13]:

$$\mathcal{R} = u(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-p})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\to p} (\mathcal{S}^{p+1-i}u - u\mathcal{S}^{-i})(\mathcal{S}^{p-i}u - u\mathcal{S}^{-i})^{-1} ,$$

  where the notation $\prod_{i=1}^{\to p}$ is denoted the order of the value i, from 1 to p, that is, $\prod_{i=1}^{\to p} a_i = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p$.

- Non-trivial symmetry:

$$\mathcal{R}(u_t) = u(1 - \mathcal{S}^{-(p+1)})\mathcal{S}^{1-p} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2p-1} u_j u_{i+p}$$

- Master symmetry [13]: $\mathcal{R}(u)$
- Lax representation [44]:

$$L = \mathcal{S} + u\mathcal{S}^{-p}, \qquad A = (L^{(p+1)})_{\geq 0},$$

  where ≥ 0 means taking the terms with non-negative power of $\mathcal{S}$ in $L^{(p+1)}$.

For $p = 1, 2$ or 3, a few higher order symmetries are explicitly given in [15], where the authors also studied their Hamiltonian operator, recursion operator and master symmetry for $p = 1, 2$.

The Narita-Itoh-Bogoyavlensky lattice is known as an integrable discretisation for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. It can also be presented as

$$v_t = v(\prod_{k=1}^{p} v_k - \prod_{k=1}^{p} v_{-k}).$$

which is related to the Narita-Itoh-Bogoyavlensky lattice via the transformation $u = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} v_k$ for fixed p. Taking $p = 1$, we get the well-known Volterra chain in section 4.1. Thus they can be regarded as the generalisation of the Volterra chain.

Let $u = \prod_{k=0}^{p} w_k$. Then w satisfies the so-called the modified Bogoyavlensky chain

$$w_t = w^2(\prod_{k=1}^{p} w_k - \prod_{k=1}^{p} w_{-k}).$$

The recursion operator given above for the Narita-Itoh-Bogoyavlensky lattice is highly nonlocal (so is the master symmetry). Recently, Svinin [55] derived the explicit formulas for its generalised symmetries in terms of a family of homogeneous difference polynomials. The properties of these homogeneous difference polynomials [56] enable us to prove the locality of its infinitely many symmetries [13].

A family of integrable lattice hierarchies associated with fractional Lax operators was introduced by Adler and Postnikov [57, 58]. One simple example is

$$u_t = u^2(\prod_{k=1}^{p} u_k - \prod_{k=1}^{p} u_{-k}) - u(\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} u_k - \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} u_{-k}), \qquad 2 \leq p \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{81}$$

which is an integrable discretisation for the Sawada-Kotera equation. It can be considered as inhomogeneous generalisation of the Bogoyavlensky type lattices. The problem to construct the Hamiltonian structure and recursion operator for such family of equations is still open.
Even for in the scalar case, the classification of higher order of integrable evolutionary differential-difference equations is still open.

4.6 The Toda Lattice

- Equation [59]:

$$q_{tt} = \exp(q_1 - q) - \exp(q - q_{-1})$$

  In the Manakov-Flaschka coordinates [60, 45] defined by $u = \exp(q_1 - q),\ v = q_t$, it can be rewritten as two-component evolution system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_t = u(v_1 - v) \\ v_t = u - u_{-1} \end{array} \right. \tag{82}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [40, 38, 35]:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(\mathcal{S} - 1) \\ (1 - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_1 = u + \frac{v^2}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u & u(\mathcal{S} - 1)v \\ v(1 - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u & u\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}u \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_2 = v$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_1^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} v_1 + u(v_1 - v)(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u} & u\mathcal{S} + u \\ 1 + \mathcal{S}^{-1} + (u - u_{-1})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u} & v \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & u\mathcal{S} + u \\ 1 + \mathcal{S}^{-1} & v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u(v_1 - v) \\ u - u_{-1} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(v_1^2 - v^2 + u_1 - u_{-1}) \\ u(v_1 + v) - u_{-1}(v_{-1} + v) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry [38]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \frac{v}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nu_t + \frac{3}{2}uv_1 + \frac{1}{2}uv \\ nv_t + u + u_{-1} + \frac{v^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + v_1 & u \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -u \\ 1 & \lambda + v \end{pmatrix}$$

The Hirota nonlinear Lumped Network equation [61]

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_t = v_1 - v \\ v_t = v(u - u_{-1}) \end{array} \right.$$

is related to the Toda lattice (82) by a simple invertible transformation. Namely, let $u = q$ and $v = p_{-1}$. Then the variables p and q satisfy the Toda equation. All its properties can be obtained via those for the Toda lattice.

4.7 A Relativistic Toda system

- Equation [62]:

$$q_{tt} = q_t q_{-1t} \frac{\exp(q_{-1} - q)}{1 + \exp(q_{-1} - q)} - q_t q_{1t} \frac{\exp(q - q_1)}{1 + \exp(q - q_1)}$$

  Let us introduce the following dependent variables [63, 64]

$$u = \frac{q_t \exp(q - q_1)}{1 + \exp(q - q_1)}, \qquad v = \frac{q_t}{1 + \exp(q - q_1)}.$$

  Then the equation can be written as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_t = u(u_{-1} - u_1 + v - v_1) \\ v_t = v(u_{-1} - u) \end{array} \right. \tag{83}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [64]:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(1 - \mathcal{S}) \\ (\mathcal{S}^{-1} - 1)u & u\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}u \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_1 = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2) + uv + u_1 u + uv_1$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u(\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \mathcal{S})u & u(1 - \mathcal{S})v \\ v(\mathcal{S}^{-1} - 1)u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_2 = u + v$$

- Recursion operator [64]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{H}_1^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} u\mathcal{S} + u + v_1 + u_1 + u\mathcal{S}^{-1} - u(v - v_1 + u_{-1} - u_1)(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u} & u\mathcal{S} + u \\ v + v\mathcal{S}^{-1} - v(u_{-1} - u)(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u} & v \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} u\mathcal{S} + u + v_1 + u_1 + u\mathcal{S}^{-1} & u\mathcal{S} + u \\ v + v\mathcal{S}^{-1} & v \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\begin{pmatrix} uu_{-1}(u + u_{-1} + u_{-2} + 2v + v_{-1}) - uu_1(u_2 + u_1 + u + 2v_1 + v_2) + u^2(v - v_1) + u(v^2 - v_1^2) \\ vu_{-1}\,(u_{-2} + u_{-1} + v + v_{-1}) - uv(u_1 + u + v_1 + v) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry [64]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -nu_t + u(v + 2v_1 + u + 2u_1 + u_{-1}) \\ -nv_t + v(u + v + u_{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left((\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} 1 = n\right)$$

- Lax representation:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda v - \lambda^{-1} & u_{-1} \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda^{-2} - u_{-1} & \lambda^{-1} u_{-1} \\ -\lambda^{-1} & -u_{-2} - v_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

As noticed in [64], the inverse of this recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ is also weakly nonlocal:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{v_1} & -\frac{u}{v_1^2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{u}{v^2} - \frac{2u}{vv_1} \\ -\mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{v_1} & \frac{u}{v_1^2}\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{u}{v^2} + \frac{2u}{vv_1} + \frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u}{v_1} - \frac{u}{v} \\ \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} - \frac{u}{v_1} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & -\frac{2}{v} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

However, recursion operators $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ have different starting point, i.e., seeds. For $\mathcal{R}$, it starts with acting on the right hand of the equation while the seed for $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ is $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u}{v_1} - \frac{u}{v} \\ \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} - \frac{u}{v_1} \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}$ acting on σ and $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ acting on the right hand of the equation do not give rise to new symmetries.

There is a Miura transformation $u = -\frac{u'_{-1}}{v'v'_{-1}}, v = -\frac{1}{v'_{-1}}$ between the flow corresponding to σ and the equation, where u' and v' denote dependent variables for σ.
In [63], the author studied other integrable equations related the relativistic Toda lattice. For example, the equation

$$q_{tt} = q_{-1t}\exp(q_{-1} - q) - \exp(2q_{-1} - 2q) - q_{1t}\exp(q - q_1) + \exp(2q - 2q_1)$$

can also be rewritten as system (83) by setting

$$u = \exp(q - q_1), \qquad v = q_t - \exp(q_{-1} - q) - \exp(q - q_1).$$

4.8 Two-component Volterra lattice

- Equation [40]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u(v_1 - v) \\ v_t = v(u - u_{-1}) \end{cases} \tag{84}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [40]:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(\mathcal{S} - 1)v \\ v(1 - \mathcal{S}^{-1})u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_1 = u + v$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u(\mathcal{S}v - v\mathcal{S}^{-1})u & u(u\mathcal{S} - u + \mathcal{S}v - v)v \\ v(u - \mathcal{S}^{-1}u + v - v\mathcal{S}^{-1})u & v(u\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}u)v \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_2 = \ln u$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_1^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} u + v_1 + u(v_1 - v)(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u} & u\mathcal{S} + \frac{uv_1}{v} + u(v_1 - v)(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{v} \\ v + v\mathcal{S}^{-1} + v(u - u_{-1})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{u} & u + v + v(u - u_{-1})(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} u + v_1 & u\mathcal{S} + \frac{uv_1}{v} \\ v + v\mathcal{S}^{-1} & u + v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u(v_1 - v) \\ v(u - u_{-1}) \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & \frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u^2(v_1 - v) + u\left(v_1{}^2 - v^2 + v_1u_1 - vu_{-1}\right) \\ v^2(u - u_{-1}) + v(u^2 - u_{-1}^2 + uv_1 - u_{-1}v_{-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry [38]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2nu_t + u^2 + 3uv_1 \\ 2nv_t + vu_{-1} + 2uv + v^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation [65]:

$$L = \lambda\mathcal{S}^{-1} + v + u_{-1} + \lambda^{-1}uv\mathcal{S}, \qquad A = \lambda^{-1}uv\mathcal{S}$$

This system comes from the Volterra chain in section 4.1 written in the variable w, that is,

$$w_t = w(w_1 - w_{-1}), \tag{85}$$

by renaming $u(n,t) = w(2n,t)$ and $v(n,t) = w(2n-1,t)$. It is related to the Toda equation (82), written in variables $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$, by the Miura transformation [66]

$$\bar{u} = uv \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v} = u_{-1} + v. \tag{86}$$

In fact, the (master) symmetries, conservation laws and local Hamiltonian structures of this system can be easily obtained from the Volterra chain in the same way. For instance, we can derive the first Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{H}_1$ as follows:

A symmetry flow of the Volterra chain (85) is

$$w_\tau = w(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1})wQ[n] = ww_1Q[n+1] - ww_{-1}Q[n-1],$$

where $Q[n]$ is the variational derivative of a conserved density for (85). We now write down both even and odd chains and rename them for the variables u and v accordingly. We have

$$u_\tau = uv_1Q[2n+1] - uvQ[2n-1] \quad \text{and} \quad v_\tau = vuQ[2n] - vu_{-1}Q[2n-2],$$

that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_\tau \\ v_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(\mathcal{S}-1)v \\ v(1-\mathcal{S}^{-1})u & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q[2n] \\ Q[2n-1] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the same method, we can derive $\mathcal{H}_2$ in the list.
Such construction is valid for all scalar equations. It is tricky if the operator is nonlocal.

4.9 The Relativistic Volterra Lattice

- Equation [65, 66, 27]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u(v - v_{-1} + uv - u_{-1}v_{-1}) \\ v_t = v(u_1 - u + u_1v_1 - uv) \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [65]:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(1-\mathcal{S}^{-1})v \\ v(\mathcal{S}-1)u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_1 = u + v + uv$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} uv(1+u)\mathcal{S}u - u\mathcal{S}^{-1}uv(1+u) & uv(u+v+uv) - u(\mathcal{S}^{-1}uv\mathcal{S}^{-1} + u\mathcal{S}^{-1} + \mathcal{S}^{-1}v)v \\ v(\mathcal{S}uv\mathcal{S} + v\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}u)u - uv(u+v+uv) & v\mathcal{S}uv(1+v) - uv(1+v)\mathcal{S}^{-1}v \end{pmatrix},$$

$f_2 = \ln u$ or $f_2 = \ln v$

- Recursion operator:

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} uv_{-1}\mathcal{S}^{-1} + u + v + uv & u(1+u_{-1})\mathcal{S}^{-1} + u(1+u) \\ v(1+v_1)\mathcal{S} + \frac{u_1v(1+v_1)}{u} & u_1v\mathcal{S} + u_1 + v + u_1v_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{pmatrix} u(v - v_{-1} + uv - u_{-1}v_{-1}) \\ v(u_1 - u + u_1v_1 - uv) \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & \frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} uv(1+u)(u+u_1+u_1v_1) + uv^2(1+u)^2 - uv_{-1}^2(1+u_{-1})^2 - u^2v_{-1} \\ -u_{-1}uv_{-1}(1+u+v_{-2}+u_{-1}+u_{-2}v_{-2}); \\ u_1vv_1(1+2u_1+u_2v_2+u_2) + u_1^2v + u_1vv_1^2(1+u_1) + v^2u_1(1+v_1) \\ -uv(1+v)(v+v_{-1}+u_{-1}v_{-1}) - u^2v(1+v)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + 2u_1 + 2v + 1 & -\lambda(2v+1) - \lambda^{-1}(2u_1+1) \\ -\lambda(2u_1+1) - \lambda^{-1}(2v+1) & \lambda^{-2} + 2u_1 + 2v + 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\lambda^2-\lambda^{-2}}{8} + uv + \frac{u}{2} + \frac{v}{2} & \lambda\frac{(2v+1)}{4} + \lambda^{-1}\frac{(2u+1)}{4} \\ \lambda\frac{(2u+1)}{4} + \lambda^{-1}\frac{(2v+1)}{4} & \frac{\lambda^2-\lambda^{-2}}{8} + uv + \frac{u}{2} + \frac{v}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

  In [65], the Lax representation is given in the following form:

$$U_t = U\,C - A\,U; \qquad W_t = W\,B - C\,W; \qquad V_t = V\,B - A\,V,$$

  where the difference operators U, V, W, A, B and C are given be

$$\begin{array}{lll} U = u + \lambda\mathcal{S}^{-1}; & W = 1 + \lambda^{-1}v\mathcal{S}; & V = 1 - \lambda^{-1}uv\mathcal{S} \\ A = u + u_{-1}v_{-1} + v_{-1} + \lambda\mathcal{S}^{-1}; & B = u + uv + v_{-1} + \lambda\mathcal{S}^{-1}; & C = u + uv + v + \lambda\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{array}$$

There exists another weakly nonlocal recursion operator The recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ has a weakly nonlocal inverse:

$$\mathcal{R}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{uv}{(u_1+v+1)^2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{uv(u+1)+((1+v_{-1})^2+u)(u_1+1)}{(u+v_{-1}+1)^2(u_1+v+1)} & -\frac{u(u+1)}{(u+v_{-1}+1)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \frac{u(u_1+1)}{(u_1+v+1)^2} \\ -\frac{v(1+v)}{(u_1+v+1)^2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{v(u^2+u^2v+2u_1v_{-1}+u_1+u_1v_{-1}^2)}{u(u+v_{-1}+1)(u_1+v+1)} & \frac{uv}{(u+v_{-1}+1)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} + \frac{(1+u_1)(1+v)}{(u_1+v+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{uv_{-1}}{u+v_{-1}+1} + \frac{uv}{u_1+v+1} \\ -\frac{uv}{u+v_{-1}+1} + \frac{u_1v}{u_1+v+1} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{u+v_{-1}+1} - \frac{1}{u} & \frac{2}{u_1+v+1} - \frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

In fact, it commutes with $\mathcal{R}$ and satisfies $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{R} + \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id}$.
It is related to the Relativistic Toda equation (83), written in variables $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$, by the Miura transformation $\bar{u} = -uv$ and $\bar{v} = -(u + v_{-1} + 1)$ [66]. This transformation is similar to (86), which explains the name of this equation.

4.10 The Merola-Ragnisco-Tu Lattice

- Equation [15, 67]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_1 - u^2v \\ v_t = -v_{-1} + v^2u \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [15]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = u_1v - \frac{u^2v^2}{2}$$

- Recursion operator [15]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} - 2uv & -u^2 \\ v^2 & \mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + 2\begin{pmatrix} -u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} v & u \end{pmatrix}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry [15]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 - u_1^2v_1 - u^2v_{-1} - 2uvu_1 + u^3v^2 \\ -v_{-2} + v_{-1}^2u_{-1} + v^2u_1 + 2uvv_{-1} - u^2v^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry [15]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} (n+1)u \\ -nv \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nu_t + 2u_1 - 2u^2v - 2u(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}uv \\ nv_t + v_{-1} + uv^2 + 2v(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}uv \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & v \\ u & -2\lambda - uv \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -v_{-1} \\ -u & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

The recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ has a weakly nonlocal inverse:

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(u_{-1}v+1)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} & \frac{u_{-1}^2}{(u_{-1}v+1)^2} \\ -\frac{v_1^2}{(uv_1+1)^2} & \frac{1}{(uv_1+1)^2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{2u_{-1}v_1}{(u_{-1}v+1)(uv_1+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+2\begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_{-1}}{u_{-1}v+1} \\ -\frac{v_1}{uv_1+1} \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_1}{uv_1+1} & \frac{u_{-1}}{u_{-1}v+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The symmetry $(u,\ -v)^{\mathrm{tr}}$ is a seed for both $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$.
Under the invertible transformation $t \mapsto -t$, $u \mapsto -u$ and $v \mapsto v_1$, this lattice transforms into

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -u_1 - u^2v_1 \\ v_t = v_{-1} + v^2u_{-1} \end{cases},$$

which is related to the nonlinear Schrödinger system $u_t = u_{xx} + 2u^2v$, $-v_t = v_{xx} + 2v^2u$ appeared in [68].

4.11 The Kaup Lattice

- Equation [68]:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_t = (u+v)(u_1-u) \\ v_t = (u+v)(v-v_{-1}) \end{array}\right.$$

- Hamiltonian structure [68]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u+v \\ -(u+v) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = u_1 v - uv$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = & \begin{pmatrix} (u+v)\mathcal{S} + u_1 - u & 0 \\ 0 & (u+v)\mathcal{S}^{-1} + u_1 - u \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u+v} & \frac{1}{u+v} \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_{-1} - v & u_1 - u \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (u+v)(uu_1 + uv_{-1} + u_1v_1 - u_1u_2 - u_2v_1 - u_1v_{-1}) \\ (u+v)(u_{-1}v_{-2} + v_{-2}v_{-1} - u_1v - u_{-1}v_{-1} + u_1v_{-1} - v_{-1}v) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation [68]:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} u-\lambda & uv + \lambda(u+v) + \lambda^2 \\ 1 & v-\lambda \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} u & (u+\lambda)(v_{-1}+\lambda) \\ 1 & v_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

There exists another weakly nonlocal recursion operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}' = & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u+v}{(u_{-1}+v)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} & -\frac{(u-u_{-1})}{(u_{-1}+v)^2} \\ -\frac{(v_1-v)}{(u+v_1)^2} & \frac{u+v}{(u+v_1)^2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{u-u_{-1}-v_1+v}{(u_{-1}+v)(u+v_1)} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u+v_1} - \frac{1}{u+v} & \frac{1}{u_{-1}+v} - \frac{1}{u+v} \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u-u_{-1}}{u_{-1}+v} \\ \frac{v_1-v}{u+v_1} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{u+v_1} - \frac{1}{u+v} & \frac{2}{u_{-1}+v} - \frac{1}{u+v} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetry $(1,\ -1)^{\mathrm{tr}}$ is a seed for both $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$. In fact, operator $\mathcal{R}'$ is the inverse operator of $\mathcal{R}$.

4.12 The Ablowitz-Ladik Lattice

- Equation [69]:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_t = (1-uv)(\alpha u_1 - \beta u_{-1}) \\ v_t = (1-uv)(\beta v_1 - \alpha v_{-1}) \end{array}\right. := \alpha K_1 + \beta K_{-1}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [15]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1-uv \\ -(1-uv) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = (\alpha u_1 - \beta u_{-1})v$$

- Recursion operator [15, 70, 71]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = & \begin{pmatrix} (1-uv)\,\mathcal{S} - u_1v - uv_{-1} & -uu_1 \\ vv_{-1} & (1-uv)\,\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -u \\ v \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_{-1} & u_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \begin{pmatrix} (1-uv)u_1 \\ -(1-uv)v_{-1} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1-uv} & \frac{u}{1-uv} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry [15]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} (1-uv)u_1 \\ -(1-uv)v_{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-uv)\Big((1-u_1v_1)u_2 - vu_1^2 - uu_1v_{-1}\Big) \\ (1-uv)\Big(-(1-u_{-1}v_{-1})v_{-2} + uv_{-1}^2 + u_1v_{-1}v\Big) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry [15]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} nu \\ -nv \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (n+1)(1-uv)u_1 - u^2v_{-1} - u(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}uv_{-1} \\ (1-n)(1-uv)v_{-1} + uvv_{-1} + v(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}uv_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & u \\ v & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \alpha\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 - uv_{-1} & \lambda u \\ \lambda v_{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{-1}u_{-1} \\ \lambda^{-1}v & \lambda^{-2} - u_{-1}v \end{pmatrix}$$

The coefficients for α and β, namely, K_1 and K_{-1}, are commuting symmetries for the equation. The inverse of this recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ is of weakly nonlocal form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{-1} = &\begin{pmatrix} (1-uv)\,\mathcal{S}^{-1} & uu_{-1} \\ -vv_1 & (1-uv)\,\mathcal{S} - uv_1 - u_{-1}v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} v_1 & u_{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} (1-uv)u_{-1} \\ -(1-uv)v_1 \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1-uv} & \frac{u}{1-uv} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Both $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ share a common the seed $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}$. Starting form it, we can generate the commuting symmetries $\mathcal{R}^{-i}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{i}(\sigma)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For more Lie algebra structure among symmetries and master symmetries, we refer the reader to [15].

4.13 The Bruschi-Ragnisco Lattice

- Equation [72, 65, 40]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_1v - uv_{-1} \\ v_t = v(v - v_{-1}) \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [72, 15]:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1-\mathcal{S}^{-1})v \\ v(\mathcal{S}-1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_1 = u_1v$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} v\mathcal{S}u - u\mathcal{S}^{-1}v & v(\mathcal{S}-1)v \\ v(1-\mathcal{S}^{-1})v & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_2 = u$$

- Recursion operator [40, 15]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_1^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} v\mathcal{S} & u_1 + u\mathcal{S}^{-1} + (u_1v - uv_{-1})(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\frac{1}{v} \\ 0 & v\mathcal{S}^{-1} + v(v - v_{-1})(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} v\mathcal{S} & u_1 + u\mathcal{S}^{-1} \\ 0 & v\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry [15]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} vv_1u_2 - v_{-2}v_{-1}u \\ v(vv_{-1} - v_{-1}v_{-2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry [15]:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nu_t + 2u_1 v + u_{-1}u \\ nv_t + vv_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ has a weakly nonlocal inverse:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{1}{v} & -\mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{u}{v^2} - \frac{u}{v^2} + (\frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} - \frac{u}{v})(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\frac{1}{v} \\ 0 & v\mathcal{S}\frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{v_1} + (\frac{v}{v_1} - 1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{1}{v} & -\mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{u}{v^2} - \frac{u}{v^2} \\ 0 & v\mathcal{S}\frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{v_1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} - \frac{u}{v} \\ \frac{v}{v_1} - 1 \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{v} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

However, recursion operators $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ have different seeds similar to the Relativistic Toda system in section 4.7. The starting point for operator $\mathcal{R}$ is the equation itself while the seed for $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ is $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_{-1}}{v_{-1}} - \frac{u}{v} \\ \frac{v}{v_1} - 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Operator $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ acting on the equation itself and $\mathcal{R}$ on σ do not generate new symmetries. We refer the reader to [15] for more Lie algebra structure among symmetries and master symmetries.
In fact, the Bruschi-Ragnisco lattice is trivially solvable, so are its symmetry flows. The equation for the second component v_t is independent of the first component u. Besides, the scalar lattice $v_t = v(v - v_{-1})$ and $v_t = \frac{v}{v_1} - 1$ can be linearised into $w_t = w_{-1}$ and $w_t = w_1$, respectively by the transformations $v = -\frac{w_{-1}}{w}$ and $v = -\frac{w}{w_1}$, respectively. Once it is solved, the equation for u is then linear.

4.14 The Kaup-Newell lattice

- Equation [73]:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} u_t &= a\left(\frac{u_1}{1-u_1v_1} - \frac{u}{1-uv}\right) + b\left(\frac{u}{1+uv_1} - \frac{u_{-1}}{1+u_{-1}v}\right) \\ v_t &= a\left(\frac{v}{1-uv} - \frac{v_{-1}}{1-u_{-1}v_{-1}}\right) + b\left(\frac{v_1}{1+uv_1} - \frac{v}{1+u_{-1}v}\right) \end{aligned} \right. \quad := aK_1 + bK_{-1}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [73]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{S}-1 \\ 1-\mathcal{S}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = -a\ln(1-uv) + b\ln(1+uv_1)$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} = &\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{(1-u_1v_1)^2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{1}{(1-uv)^2} - \frac{2u_1v}{(1-u_1v_1)(1-uv)} & -\frac{u_1^2}{(1-u_1v_1)^2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{u^2}{(1-uv)^2} - \frac{2uu_1}{(1-uv)(1-u_1v_1)} \\ -\frac{v_{-1}^2}{(1-u_{-1}v_{-1})^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \frac{v^2}{(1-uv)^2} & -\frac{1}{(1-u_{-1}v_{-1})^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} + \frac{1-2uv}{(1-uv)^2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &-2K_1(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1-uv} & \frac{u}{1-uv} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the symplectic operator $\mathcal{I}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{1-uv} \\ -\frac{1}{1-uv} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1-uv} \\ \frac{u}{1-uv} \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1-uv} & \frac{u}{1-uv} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\mathcal{R}(K_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(1-u_1v_1)^2}(u_1 - \frac{u_2}{1-u_2v_2} - \frac{u_1^2v}{1-uv}) - \frac{1}{(1-uv)^2}(u - \frac{u_1}{1-u_1v_1} - \frac{u^2v_{-1}}{1-u_{-1}v_{-1}}) \\ \frac{1}{(1-uv)^2}(v - \frac{u_1v^2}{1-u_1v_1} - \frac{v_{-1}}{1-u_{-1}v_{-1}}) - \frac{1}{(1-u_{-1}v_{-1})^2}(v_{-1} - \frac{uv_{-1}^2}{1-uv} - \frac{v_{-2}}{1-u_{-2}v_{-2}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation [73]:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + (1-\lambda)uv & u \\ (1-\lambda)v & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$U = a\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda-1}{2} & \frac{u}{1-uv} \\ \frac{(1-\lambda)v_{-1}}{1-u_{-1}v_{-1}} & -\frac{\lambda-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{b}{2\lambda(1+u_{-1}v)}\begin{pmatrix} (\lambda-1)(1-u_{-1}v) & 2u_{-1} \\ 2(1-\lambda)v & (1-\lambda)(1-u_{-1}v) \end{pmatrix}$$

The recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ has a seed $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\sigma) = K_1$ taking integration constant being zero. Similar to the Ablowitz-Ladik Lattice in section 4.12, the coefficients for a and b, namely, K_1 and K_{-1}, are commuting symmetries for the equation. Indeed, there exists another weakly nonlocal recursion operator

$$\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(1+u_{-1}v)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \frac{1+2uv_1}{(1+uv_1)^2} & -\frac{u^2}{(1+uv_1)^2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{u_{-1}^2}{(1+u_{-1}v)^2} - \frac{2uu_{-1}}{(1+u_{-1}v)(1+uv_1)} \\ -\frac{v^2}{(1+u_{-1}v)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \frac{v_1^2}{(1+uv_1)^2} & \frac{1}{(1+uv_1)^2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{(1+u_{-1}v)^2} - \frac{2u_{-1}v_1}{(1+u_{-1}v)(1+uv_1)} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$-2K_{-1}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_1}{1+uv_1} & \frac{u_{-1}}{1+u_{-1}v} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the symplectic operator $\mathcal{I}'$ is

$$\mathcal{I}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{1+uv_1}(\mathcal{S} - u_{-1}v_1)\frac{1}{1+u_{-1}v} \\ \frac{1}{1+u_{-1}v}(u_{-1}v_1 - \mathcal{S}^{-1})\frac{1}{1+uv_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$-\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_1}{1+uv_1} \\ \frac{u_{-1}}{1+u_{-1}v} \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_1}{1+uv_1} & \frac{u_{-1}}{1+u_{-1}v} \end{pmatrix}$$

Again σ is a seed for $\mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{R}'(\sigma) = K_{-1}$ taking

$$(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\left(-\frac{uv_1}{1+uv_1} + \frac{u_{-1}v}{1+u_{-1}v}\right) = -\frac{u_{-1}v}{1+u_{-1}v}.$$

By direct calculation, we have $\mathcal{I}'\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{I}' - \mathcal{I}$. Thus these two recursion operators satisfy the relation $\mathcal{R}'\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}' - \mathcal{R}$, that is, $(\mathcal{R}' - \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R} - \mathrm{id}) = (\mathcal{R} - \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}' - \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id}$.

4.15 The Chen-Lee-Liu lattice

- Equation [73]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = a(1+uv)(u_1 - u) + b(1+u_{-1}v)^{-1}(u - u_{-1}) \\ v_t = a(1+uv)(v - v_{-1}) + b(1+uv_1)^{-1}(v_1 - v) \end{cases} := aK_1 + bK_{-1}$$

- Hamiltonian structure:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+uv \\ -(1+uv) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = a(uv_{-1} - uv) + b\ln\frac{1+uv}{1+uv_1}$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (1+uv)\mathcal{S} - 2uv + u_1v + uv_{-1} & uu_1 - u^2 \\ v^2 - vv_{-1} & (1+uv)\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+K_1(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1+uv} & \frac{u}{1+uv} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} v - v_{-1} & u - u_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

  where Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{H}_2$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1+uv)\left(\mathcal{S}(1+uv) - uv + u_1v\right) \\ \left(uv - u_1v - (1+uv)\mathcal{S}^{-1}\right)(1+uv) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$-K_1(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} u & -v \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}K_1^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\mathcal{R}(K_1) = \begin{pmatrix} (1+uv)(u_1u_2v_1 + u_2 + u_1^2v + uu_1v_{-1} + u^2v - u_1 - u^2v_{-1} - 2uu_1v - u_1^2v_1) \\ (1+uv)(u_1v^2 + 2uv_{-1}v + u_{-1}v_{-1}^2 + v_{-1} - v_{-2} - uv^2 - uv_{-1}^2 - u_1v_{-1}v - u_{-1}v_{-2}v_{-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation [73]:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + uv & u \\ (1-\lambda)v & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = a\begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 + uv_{-1} & u \\ (1-\lambda)v_{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{b}{\lambda(1+u_{-1}v)}\begin{pmatrix} u_{-1}v & u_{-1} \\ (1-\lambda)v & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

The coefficients for a and b, namely, K_1 and K_{-1}, are commuting symmetries for the equation. The above recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ has a seed $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\sigma) = K_1$.
There exists another weakly nonlocal recursion operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{H}_2'\mathcal{H}^{-1} = &\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+uv}{(1+u_{-1}v)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} & -\frac{u_{-1}(u-u_{-1})}{(1+u_{-1}v)^2} \\ -\frac{v_1(v_1-v)}{(1+uv_1)^2} & \frac{1+uv}{(1+uv_1)^2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{v_1u-2u_{-1}v_1+u_{-1}v}{(1+u_{-1}v)(1+uv_1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &-K_{-1}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\left(\frac{2v_1}{1+uv_1} - \frac{v}{1+uv} \quad \frac{2u_{-1}}{1+u_{-1}v} - \frac{u}{1+uv}\right) \\ &-\begin{pmatrix} -u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\left(\frac{v_1}{1+uv_1} - \frac{v}{1+uv} \quad \frac{u_{-1}}{1+u_{-1}v} - \frac{u}{1+uv}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{H}_2'$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_2' = &\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1+uv}{1+u_{-1}v}\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{1+uv}{1+uv_1} + \frac{v_1(u-u_{-1})}{1+uv_1}\right) \\ -\left(\frac{1+uv}{1+uv_1}\mathcal{S} + \frac{v_1(u-u_{-1})}{1+uv_1}\right)\frac{1+uv}{1+u_{-1}v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &-K_{-1}(\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}K_{-1}^{\mathrm{tr}} - K_{-1}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -u & v \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}K_{-1}^{\mathrm{tr}} \end{aligned}$$

Again σ is a seed for $\mathcal{R}'$. In fact, operator $\mathcal{R}'$ is the inverse operator of $\mathcal{R}$.

4.16 The Ablowitz-Ramani-Segur (Gerdjikov-Ivanov) lattice

- Equation [73]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (au_1 - bu_{-1})(1+uv)(1-uv_1) \\ v_t = (bv_1 - av_{-1})(1+uv)(1-u_{-1}v) \end{cases} := aK_1 + bK_{-1}$$

- Symplectic operator:

$$\mathcal{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{1-uv_1}\mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{1+uv} \\ \frac{1}{1+uv} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}\frac{1}{1-uv_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have

$$\mathcal{I}(aK_1 + bK_{-1}) = \delta_{(u,v)}\left(a(uv_{-1} - uv - uvu_1v_1) + b(u_{-1}v_1 - uv_1 + u_{-1}uvv_1)\right)$$

- Hamiltonian structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = &\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1+uv)(\mathcal{S}(1+uv) + uv_{-1})(1-u_{-1}v) \\ -(1-u_{-1}v)((1+uv)\mathcal{S}^{-1} + uv_{-1})(1+uv) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &-K_1\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} u & -v \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}K_1^{\mathrm{tr}} \end{aligned}$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} = & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} (1+uv)(1-uv_1)\mathcal{S} + u_1v - u_1v_1 \\ +uv_{-1} - uv(1+u_{-1}v_{-1}+2u_1v_1) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} -uu_1(1+uv)\mathcal{S} - u^2(1+u_{-1}v_{-1}) \\ +\frac{1-uv_1}{1-u_{-1}v}u_1(u-u_{-1}-2uu_{-1}v) \end{array} \\ \\ -(1-u_{-1}v)v_{-1}v - (1+uv)v_{-1}v\mathcal{S}^{-1} & (1+uv)(1-u_{-1}v)\mathcal{S}^{-1} + uvu_{-1}v_{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} u_1(1+uv)(1-uv_1) \\ -v_{-1}(1+uv)(1-u_{-1}v) \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1+uv} - \frac{v_1}{1-uv_1} & \frac{u}{1+uv} - \frac{u_{-1}}{1-u_{-1}v} \end{pmatrix} \\
& - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v - v_{-1} + u_{-1}v_{-1}v + u_1vv_1 & u - u_1 + uu_{-1}v_{-1} + uu_1v_1 \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry $\mathcal{R}(K_1)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1+uv)(1-uv_1)\Big((1+u_1v_1)(1-u_1v_2)u_2 - u_1^2v_1(1+uv) + uu_1v_{-1}(1-u_{-1}v) - u_1v(u-u_1)\Big) \\ (1+uv)(u_{-1}v-1)\Big((1+u_{-1}v_{-1})(1-u_{-2}v_{-1})v_{-2} - u_{-1}v_{-1}^2(1+uv) + u_1v_{-1}v(1-uv_1) + uv_{-1}(v_{-1}-v)\Big) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation [73]:

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + uv & u \\ (1-\lambda)(1-uv_1)v & 1 - uv_1 \end{pmatrix}; \\
U &= a\begin{pmatrix} \lambda + uv_{-1}(1-u_{-1}v) & u \\ (1-\lambda)(1-u_{-1}v)v_{-1} & -uv \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{b}{\lambda}\begin{pmatrix} u_{-1}v - \lambda & u_{-1} \\ (1-\lambda)(1-u_{-1}v)v & (1-\lambda)(1-u_{-1}v) - \lambda u_{-1}v_1(1+uv) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

The equation given in [73] is

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (b-a)u \\ (a-b)v \end{pmatrix} + aK_1 + bK_{-1}.$$

Since the vector $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ commutes with both K_1 and K_{-1}, we removed this term in our consideration. There exists another weakly nonlocal recursion operator

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}' = & \begin{pmatrix} (1+uv)(1-uv_1)\mathcal{S}^{-1} + uvu_{-1}v_1 & \begin{array}{c} uu_{-1}(1+uv)\mathcal{S} + uu_{-2}(1+u_{-1}v_{-1}) \\ -\frac{1-uv_1}{1-u_{-1}v}u_{-1}(u-u_{-1}-2uu_{-1}v) \end{array} \\ \\ (1-u_{-1}v)v_1v + (1+uv)v_1v\mathcal{S}^{-1} & \begin{array}{c} (1+uv)(1-u_{-1}v)\mathcal{S} + uv_1 - 2u_{-1}uvv_1 \\ -u_{-1}v_1 + u_{-1}v - u_{-2}v(1+u_{-1}v_{-1}) \end{array} \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} -u_{-1}(1+uv)(1-uv_1) \\ v_1(1+uv)(1-u_{-1}v) \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v}{1+uv} - \frac{v_1}{1-uv_1} & \frac{u}{1+uv} - \frac{u_{-1}}{1-u_{-1}v} \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_2(1+u_1v_1) + v_1(u_{-1}v-1) & u_{-2}(1+u_{-1}v_{-1}) + u_{-1}(uv_1-1) \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \mathcal{H}'\mathcal{I} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

where the Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{H}'$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}' = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(1+uv)(1-u_{-1}v) \\ (1+uv)(1-u_{-1}v) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
& -K_{-1}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} u & -v \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1}K_{-1}^{\mathrm{tr}}
\end{aligned}$$

Operator $\mathcal{R}'$ is the inverse operator of $\mathcal{R}$. The vector σ is them seed for both of them and $\mathcal{R}'(K_{-1})$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1-uv_1)(1+uv)\left((1+u_{-1}v_{-1})(1-u_{-1}v)u_{-2}-uu_{-1}v_2(1+u_1v_1)-u_{-1}^2v_1(1+uv)+u_{-1}(u_{-1}v+uv_1)\right) \\ (1-u_{-1}v)(1+uv)\left(-(1+u_1v_1)(1-uv_1)v_2+u_{-2}vv_1(1+u_{-1}v_{-1})+u_{-1}v_1(v_1vu+v_1-v)-uv_1^2\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

4.17 The Heisenberg ferromagnet lattice

- Equation [14]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (u-v)(u-u_1)(u_1-v)^{-1} \\ v_t = (u-v)(v_{-1}-v)(u-v_{-1})^{-1} \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian structure:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (u-v)^2 \\ -(u-v)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = \ln(u-v) - \ln(u-v_{-1})$$

- Symplectic operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(u-v_{-1})^{-2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} + \frac{(u-u_1)(v-v_{-1})}{(u-v)^2(u-v_{-1})(u_1-v)} \\ (u_1-v)^{-2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{(u-u_1)(v-v_{-1})}{(u-v)^2(u-v_{-1})(u_1-v)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_{-1}}{(u-v)(u-v_{-1})} \\ \frac{u-u_1}{(u-v)(u_1-v)} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_{-1}}{(u-v)(u-v_{-1})} & \frac{u-u_1}{(u-v)(u_1-v)} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} = & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u_1-v)^2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{2(u-u_1)(v-v_{-1})}{(u-v_{-1})(u_1-v)} & -\frac{(u-u_1)^2}{(u_1-v)^2} \\ \frac{(v-v_{-1})^2}{(u-v_{-1})^2} & \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u-v_{-1})^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ & -2 \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_{-1}}{(u-v)(u-v_{-1})} & \frac{u-u_1}{(u-v)(u_1-v)} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)}{(u_1-v)^2}\left(\frac{(u-v)(u_1-v_1)(u_1-u_2)}{(u_2-v_1)} + \frac{(u-u_1)^2(v_{-1}-v)}{(u-v_{-1})}\right) \\ \frac{(u-v)}{(u-v_{-1})^2}\left(\frac{(u-v)(u_{-1}-v_{-1})(v_{-2}-v_{-1})}{(u_{-1}-v_{-2})} + \frac{(v-v_{-1})^2(u-u_1)}{(u_1-v)}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 2u(u-v)^{-1} & -2(u-v)^{-1} \\ 2uv(u-v)^{-1} & \lambda + 2v(u-v)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}; \\ U &= \lambda^{-1}(u-v_{-1})^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} u+v_{-1} & 2 \\ -2uv_{-1} & -(u+v_{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ has a weakly nonlocal inverse:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}' = & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u_{-1}-v)^2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} & \frac{(u-u_{-1})^2}{(u_{-1}-v)^2} \\ -\frac{(v-v_1)^2}{(u-v_1)^2} & \frac{(u-v)^2}{(u-v_1)^2}\mathcal{S} - \frac{2(u-u_{-1})(v-v_1)}{(u-v_1)(u_{-1}-v)} \end{pmatrix} \\ & -2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(u-v)(u_{-1}-u)}{u_{-1}-v} \\ \frac{(u-v)(v-v_1)}{u-v_1} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_1}{(u-v)(u-v_1)} & \frac{u-u_{-1}}{(u-v)(u_{-1}-v)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the symplectic operator $\mathcal{I}'$ is given by

$$\mathcal{I}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(u-v_1)^{-2}\mathcal{S} + \frac{(u-u_{-1})(v-v_1)}{(u-v)^2(u-v_1)(u_{-1}-v)} \\ (u_{-1}-v)^{-2}\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \frac{(u-u_{-1})(v-v_1)}{(u-v)^2(u-v_1)(u_{-1}-v)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_1}{(u-v)(u-v_1)} \\ \frac{u-u_{-1}}{(u-v)(u_{-1}-v)} \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{S}+1)(\mathcal{S}-1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v-v_1}{(u-v)(u-v_1)} & \frac{u-u_{-1}}{(u-v)(u_{-1}-v)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Heisenberg ferromagnet lattice is a special case of the following **Landau-Lifshitz (Sklyanin) chain** [14, 74, 75]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = a\left(\frac{2h}{u_1-v} + h_v\right) + b\left(\frac{2h}{u_{-1}-v} + h_v\right) \\ v_t = a\left(\frac{2h}{u-v_{-1}} - h_u\right) + b\left(\frac{2h}{u-v_1} - h_u\right) \end{cases} \tag{87}$$

where

$$h(u,v) = \frac{i}{4}(K_1(1-uv)^2 - K_2(1+uv)^2 + K_3(u+v)^2), \qquad K_1K_2K_3 \neq 0,\ \ K_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

by specifying $a = 1$, $b = 0$ and $h(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}(u-v)^2$. Notice that the coefficients of a and b in the Landau-Lifshitz (Sklyanin) chain (87) commute.

The Lax representation for equation (87) is given in [74] by

$$U = i\sum_{k=1}^{3} aN_k^+(\lambda)S_k(u,v_{-1})\sigma_k + bN_k^-(\lambda)S_k(v,u_{-1})\sigma_k;\ M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{SKS}\rangle}}\left(I - \sum_{k=1}^{3} M_k(\lambda)S_k(u,v)\sigma_k\right).$$

Here σ_k are the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

vector function $S(p,q)$ is defined as following

$$(S_1(p,q), S_2(p,q), S_3(p,q)) = \left(\frac{1-pq}{p-q}, \frac{i+ipq}{p-q}, \frac{p+q}{p-q}\right),$$

satisfying $S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2 = 1$,

$$\langle \mathbf{SKS}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{3} K_l S_l^2(u,v)$$

and $M_l(\lambda), N_l^{\pm}(\lambda)$ can be expressed in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions of a spectral parameter λ:

$$M_1(\lambda) = \sqrt{1-K_1K_2^{-1}}\mathrm{sn}(\lambda,\kappa),\ M_2(\lambda) = \sqrt{1-K_2K_1^{-1}}\mathrm{cn}(\lambda,\kappa),\ M_3(\lambda) = \sqrt{1-K_3K_1^{-1}}\mathrm{dn}(\lambda,\kappa)$$

$$N_1^{\pm}(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{K_2K_3}}{2}M_1(\lambda\pm\mu), \quad N_2^{\pm}(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{K_1K_3}}{2\kappa}M_2(\lambda\pm\mu), \quad N_3^{\pm}(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{K_1K_2}}{2}M_3(\lambda\pm\mu),$$

where κ and μ are defined by equations

$$\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{K_3(K_1-K_2)}{K_2(K_1-K_3)}}, \quad \mathrm{cn}(\mu,\kappa) = \frac{K_1}{K_3}.$$

Instead of explicit uniformisation of the elliptic curve, one can use identities

$$\frac{M_l^2-1}{K_l} = \frac{M_j^2-1}{K_j}, \quad N_l^{\pm} = \frac{K_1}{2(M_1^2-1)}(M_l \pm M_jM_k), \quad l,j,k \in \{1,2,3\},\ l \neq j \neq k \neq l.$$

One local Hamiltonian operator for equation (87) [74] is

$$\mathcal{H} = h(u,v)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = a\ln\frac{h(u,v)}{(u_1-v)^2} + b\ln\frac{h(u,v)}{(u_{-1}-v)^2}.$$

Knowing the Lax representation, we can, in principle, compute its recursion operators (as we did in section 3.2) with multipliers

$$\mu_{\pm}(\lambda) = (N_1^{\pm}(\lambda))^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\pm}(\lambda) = N_1^{\pm}(\lambda)N_2^{\pm}(\lambda)N_3^{\pm}(\lambda).$$

However, the calculations involved are rather big and we haven't found a neat way to present the operators.

4.18 The Belov-Chaltikian lattice

- Equation [76]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u(v_2 - v_{-1}) \\ v_t = u_{-1} - u + v(v_1 - v_{-1}) \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [76]:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u(\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}^{-1})(\mathcal{S}+1+\mathcal{S}^{-1})u & u(\mathcal{S}-1)(\mathcal{S}+1+\mathcal{S}^{-1})v \\ v(1-\mathcal{S}^{-1})(\mathcal{S}+1+\mathcal{S}^{-1})u & v(\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}^{-1})v+\mathcal{S}^{-1}u-u\mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_1 = v$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_2 = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(1+\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}^2)\left(u\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}^{-2}u\right) \\ \left(u\mathcal{S}^2-\mathcal{S}^{-1}u\right)(1+\mathcal{S}^{-1}+\mathcal{S}^{-2})u & v(1+\mathcal{S})(u\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}^{-2}u)+(u\mathcal{S}^2-\mathcal{S}^{-1}u)(1+\mathcal{S}^{-1})v \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} u(1+\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}^2)(\mathcal{S}^{-1}v-v\mathcal{S})(1+\mathcal{S}^{-1}+\mathcal{S}^{-2})u & u(1+\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}^2)(\mathcal{S}^{-1}v-v\mathcal{S})(1+\mathcal{S}^{-1})v \\ v(1+\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{S}^{-1}v-v\mathcal{S})(1+\mathcal{S}^{-1}+\mathcal{S}^{-2})u & v(1+\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{S}^{-1}v-v\mathcal{S})(1+\mathcal{S}^{-1})v \end{pmatrix}, \\ & f_2 = -\frac{1}{3}\ln u \end{aligned}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\mathcal{H}_2\delta f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} uv_{-1}(v+v_{-1}+v_{-2}) - uv_2(v_1+v_2+v_3) + u(u_1+u_2-u_{-1}-u_{-2}) \\ (u-vv_1)(v+v_1+v_2) + (vv_{-1}-u_{-1})(v+v_{-1}+v_{-2}) - v(u_{-2}-u_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry [77]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} nu_t + uv_1 + 4uv_{-1} + uv \\ nv_t + u - vv_1 - 4\,u_{-1} + 4\,vv_{-1} + v^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation [78]:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda v & \lambda u_{-1} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} v-\lambda & -\lambda v & -\lambda u_{-1} \\ -1 & v_{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & v_{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Belov-Chaltikian lattice is the Boussinesq lattice related to the lattice W_3-algebra [78].
In recent paper [79], the authors wrote down the Boussinesq lattice related to the lattice W_m-algebra for the dependent variables $u^1, u^2, \cdots, u^m$ and independent variables n and t as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_t^1 = -u^1(u_m^2 - u_{-1}^2) \\ u_t^i = u^{i+1} - u_{-1}^{i+1} - u^i(u_{i-1}^2 - u_{-1}^2), \quad i = 2, 3\cdots, m-1 \\ u_t^m = u^1 - u_{-1}^1 - u^m(u_{m-1}^2 - u_{-1}^2) \end{cases}$$

The vector $\tau = (\tau^1, \cdots, \tau^n)^T$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^1 &= nu_t^1 - u^1\left((m+1)u_m^2 + \textstyle\sum_{l=0}^m u_l^2\right); \\ \tau^i &= nu_t^i - u^i\left(iu_{i-1}^2 + \textstyle\sum_{l=0}^{i-1} u_l^2\right) + (i+1)u^{i+1}, \;\; i = 2, 3\cdots, m-1 \\ \tau^m &= nu_t^n - u^m\left(mu_{m-1}^2 + \textstyle\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} u_l^2\right) + (m+1)u^1 \end{aligned}$$

is a master symmetry. Its Hamiltonian structures are also studied in the same paper.

4.19 The Blaszak-Marciniak lattice

- Equation [80]:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = w_1 - w_{-1} \\ v_t = u_{-1}w_{-1} - uw \\ w_t = w(v - v_1) \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian structure [80]:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\mathcal{S}^{-1} - 1)w \\ 0 & -w(\mathcal{S} - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_1 = uw + \frac{1}{2}v^2$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}v - v\mathcal{S}^{-1} - u(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}(1-\mathcal{S})u & \mathcal{S}w\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}w & u(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}(1-\mathcal{S})w \\ w\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}^{-1}w\mathcal{S}^{-1} & \mathcal{S}^{-1}uw - uw\mathcal{S} & v(\mathcal{S}^{-1} - 1)w \\ w(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}(1-\mathcal{S})u & -w(\mathcal{S} - 1)v & w(\mathcal{S}^{-1} - \mathcal{S})w - w(\mathcal{S}+1)^{-1}(1-\mathcal{S})w \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_2 = v$$

- Recursion operator:

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}_2\mathcal{H}_1^{-1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{S} + 1)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1}\frac{1}{w} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{w}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S} - 1)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Non-trivial symmetry :

$$\mathcal{H}_2\delta f_1 = \mathcal{H}_2\begin{pmatrix} w \\ v \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1(v_1 + v_2) - w_{-1}(v + v_{-1}) \\ u_{-1}w_{-1}(v + v_{-1}) - uw(v + v_1) - w_{-1}w_{-2} + ww_1 \\ w(v^2 - v_1^2) + w(w_{-1}u_{-1} - w_1u_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Master symmetry:

$$\mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} u/2 \\ v \\ 3w/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lax representation [80]:

$$L = \mathcal{S}^2 + u_1\mathcal{S} - v_1 + w\mathcal{S}^{-1}, \qquad A = \mathcal{S}^2 + u_1\mathcal{S} - v_1$$

We do not explicitly write out its recursion operator, which is no longer weakly nonlocal although both operators $\mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_1^{-1}$ are weakly nonlocal. The statement that such recursion operator generates local symmetries can be proved in the same way as in [30], for weakly nonlocal differential recursion operators. We can compute the next Hamiltonian is $uvw + uv_1w + \frac{v^3}{3} - ww_1$. Its master symmetry is highly nonlocal, which is explicitly given in [77].
Another three-component lattice was given in [28] as

$$\begin{cases} p_t = q_1 - q \\ q_t = q(p_{-1} - p) + r - r_{-1} \\ r_t = r(p_{-1} - p_1) \end{cases}.$$

It has the Lax representation

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ q & u + \lambda & 1 \\ r & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} -p_{-1} & 1 & 0 \\ q & \lambda & 1 \\ r_{-1} & 0 & -p \end{pmatrix}.$$

This lattice is related to the Blaszak-Marciniak lattice by the Miura transformation

$$p = v_1, \quad q = -uw, \quad r = -ww_1.$$

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we review two close concepts directly related to the Lax representations: Darboux transformations and Recursion operators for integrable systems. We use the well-known nonlinear Schrödinger equation, whose Lax representation is polynomial in the spectral parameter, and a deformation of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, whose Lax representation is invariant under the dihedral reduction group $\mathbb{D}_2$, as two typical examples. We then present a list of integrable differential-difference equations containing equations themselves, Hamiltonian structures, recursion operators, a nontrivial generalised symmetries and Lax representations. For most equations, we also add notes on their relations with other known equations and the weakly nonlocal inverse recursion operators if existing.

The theory of integrable partial difference (or discrete) equations is a relatively recent, but very active area of research. There are famous the ABS equations for affine-linear quadrilateral equations due to Adler, Bobenko and Suris [81, 82], based on the condition of 3-D consistency. Then Levi and Yamilov proposed to use the existence of a generalized symmetry as a criteria for integrability [83] to classify integrable partial difference equations. They extended the ABS list. For all integrable partial difference equations, their symmetry flows can be viewed as integrable differential-difference equations. The concept of recursion operator has been adjusted to the difference equations to show that it generates an infinite sequence of symmetries and canonical conservation laws for a partial difference equation [34, 11, 52]. It can be proven that the difference equation shares the same recursion operator for its symmetry flows. Thus the study the symmetry structure for integrable difference equations is in fact the same as for integrable differential-difference equations. This is one of the motivations to produce the list presented in this paper. Besides, this list can serve as a benchmark for developing computer software packages for the symbolic computation of recursion operators, Lax representations, symmetries and conservation laws for nonlinear differential-difference equations [71].

We have not presented here a rigorous proof that the operators we computed from Darboux transformations are really Nijenhuis recursion operators for the equations obtained from the corresponding Lax representations. When the Lax representations are polynomial in the spectral parameter and under certain technical conditions a sketch of the proof was given in [28]. We think that the main statement of [28] can be greatly generalised and simplified and there is a neat rigorous algebraic proof that the operators obtained from Darboux transformations invariant under reduction groups are indeed Nijenhuis recursion operators.

Acknowledgements

The paper is supported by AVM's EPSRC grant EP/I038675/1 and JPW's EPSRC grant EP/I038659/1. Both authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support.

References

[1] Hugo D. Wahlquist and Frank B. Estabrook. Bäcklund transformation for solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 31(23):1386–1389, 1973.

[2] S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov. Reductions of integrable equations: dihedral group. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 37:7727–7742, 2004.

[3] S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov. Reduction groups and automorphic lie algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 258:179–202, 2005.

[4] S. Lombardo. *Reductions of Integrable Equations and Automorphic Lie Algebra*. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, Leeds, 2004.

[5] R.T. Bury. *Automorphic Lie Algebras, Corresponding Integrable Systems and their Soliton Solutions*. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, Leeds, 2010.

[6] A.V. Mikhailov. Integrability of a two-dimensional generalization of the Toda chain. *JETP Lett.*, 30(7):414–418, 1979.

[7] A.V. Mikhailov. Reduction in integrable systems. The reduction group. *JETP Lett.*, 32(2):187–192, 1980.

[8] A.V. Mikhailov. The reduction problem and the inverse scattering method. *Phys. D*, 3(1& 2):73–117, 1981.

[9] C. Rogers and W. K. Schief. *Bäcklund and Darboux transformations*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. Geometry and modern applications in soliton theory.

[10] V. Volterra. *Leçons sur la théorie mathématique de la lutte pour la vie*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1931.

[11] A.V. Mikhailov, J.P. Wang, and P. Xenitidis. Cosymmetries and Nijenhuis recursion operators for difference equations. *Nonlinearity*, 24(7):2079–2097, 2011. arXiv:1009.2403.

[12] A.Ya. Maltsev and S.P. Novikov. On the local systems Hamiltonian in the weakly nonlocal Poisson brackets. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 156(1-2):53–80, 2001.

[13] Jing Ping Wang. Recursion operator of the Narita-Itoh-Bogoyavlensky lattice. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 129(3):309–327, 2012.

[14] E.K. Sklyanin. Some algebraic structures connected with Yang-Baxter equation. *Funct. An. Appl*, 16(4):263–270, 1982.

[15] Hongwei Zhang, Gui-Zhang Tu, Walter Oevel, and Benno Fuchssteiner. Symmetries, conserved quantities, and hierarchies for some lattice systems with soliton structure. *J. Math. Phys.*, 32(7):1908–1918, 1991.

[16] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, S. P. Novikov, and L. P. Pitaevskii. Teoriya solitonov. Metod obratnoi zadachi *(The theory of solitons. The method of the inverse problem)*. Nauka, Moscow, 1980. in Russian.

[17] M. Ablowitz and H. Segur. *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1981.

[18] M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson. *Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering*, volume 149. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[19] A. V. Mikhailov, A. B. Shabat, and V. V. Sokolov. The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations. In *What is integrability?*, Springer Ser. Nonlinear Dynamics, pages 115–184. Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[20] A. V. Mikhailov, A. B. Shabat, and R. I. Yamilov. Extension of the module of invertible transformations. Classification of integrable systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 115(1):1–19, 1988.

[21] V. E. Adler, A. B. Shabat, and R. I. Yamilov. Symmetry approach to the integrability problem. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 125:1603–1661, 2000.

[22] J. A. Sanders and J. P. Wang. On the integrability of homogeneous scalar evolution equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 147(2):410–434, 1998.

[23] V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat. Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media. *Ž. Èksper. Teoret. Fiz.*, 61(1):118–134, 1971.

[24] Metin Gürses, Atalay Karasu, and Vladimir V. Sokolov. On construction of recursion operators from Lax representation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 40(12):6473–6490, 1999.

[25] V.E. Adler. Classification of discrete integrable equations. 2010. DSci Thesis, L.D.Landau Institute.

[26] J.L. Cieśliński. Algebraic construction of the Darboux matrix revisited. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(40):404003, 2009.

[27] S. Konstantinou-Rizos, A.V. Mikhailov, and P. Xenitidis. Reduction groups and related integrable difference systems of the NLS type. 2013. to be published.

[28] Dajun Zhang and Dengyuan Chen. Hamiltonian structure of discrete soliton systems. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 35(33):7225–7241, 2002.

[29] D.K. Demskoi and V.V. Sokolov. On recursion operators for elliptic models. *Nonlinearity*, 21:1253–1264, 2008.

[30] J.P. Wang. Lenard scheme for two-dimensional periodic volterra chain. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50:023506, 2009.

[31] V.S. Gerdjikov, G.G. Grahovski, A.V. Mikhailov, and T.I. Valchev. Polynomial bundles and generalised fourier transforms for integrable equations on **a.iii**-type symmetric spaces. *SIGMA*, 7(096):48, 2011.

[32] A. S. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner. On the structure of symplectic operators and hereditary symmetries. *Lett. Nuovo Cimento (2)*, 28(8):299–303, 1980.

[33] P. J. Olver. Evolution equations possessing infinitely many symmetries. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 18(6):1212–1215, 1977.

[34] A.V. Mikhailov, J.P. Wang, and P. Xenitidis. Recursion operators, conservation laws and integrability conditions for difference equations. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 167:421–443, 2011. arXiv:1004.5346.

[35] B.A. Kupershmidt. *Discrete Lax equations and differential-difference calculus*, volume 123 of *Astérisque*. Société mathématique de France, Paris, 1985.

[36] Irene Dorfman. *Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1993.

[37] Alberto De Sole and Victor G. Kac. Non-local Poisson structures and applications to the theory of integrable systems. arXiv:1302.0148.

[38] Igor Cherdantsev and Ravil Yamilov. Local master symmetries of differential-difference equations. In *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations (Estérel, PQ, 1994)*, pages 51–61. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[39] Benno Fuchssteiner and Wen-Xiu Ma. An approach to master symmetries of lattice equations. In *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations (Canterbury, 1996)*, pages 247–260. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[40] W. Oevel, H. Zhang, and B. Fuchssteiner. Mastersymmetries and multi-Hamiltonian formulations for some integrable lattice systems. *Progr. Theoret. Phys.*, 81(2):294–308, 1989.

[41] I. Yu. Cherdantsev and R. I. Yamilov. Master symmetries for differential-difference equations of the Volterra type. *Phys. D*, 87(1-4):140–144, 1995. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (Chernogolovka, 1994).

[42] R. I. Yamilov. Symmetries as integrability criteria for differential difference equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 39:R541–R623, 2006.

[43] D. Levi and O. Ragnisco. Extension of the spectral-transform method for solving nonlinear differential difference equations. *Lettere Al Nuovo Cimento (1971–1985)*, 22(17):691–696, 1978.

[44] O. I. Bogoyavlensky. Integrable discretizations of the KdV equation. *Physics Letters A*, 134(1):34 – 38, 1988.

[45] S.V. Manakov. Complete integrability and stochastization in discrete dynamical systems. *Sov.Phys. JETP*, 40:269–274, 1975.

[46] Ryogo Hirota. Exact n-soliton solution of a nonlinear lumped self-dual network equations. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 35:289–294, 1973.

[47] T. Tsuchida and M. Wadati. Bi-Hamiltonian structure of modified Volterra model. 9(6):869–873, 1998.

[48] R. I. Yamilov. Classification of discrete evolution equations. *Upsekhi Mat. Nauk*, 38:155–156, 1983.

[49] A. Tongas, D. Tsoubelis, and P. Xenitidis. Affine linear and D_4 symmetric lattice equations: symmetry analysis and reductions. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 40:13353–13384, 2007.

[50] P. Xenitidis. Integrability and symmetries of difference equations: the Adler–Bobenko–Suris case. In *Proceedings of the 4th Workshop "Group Analysis of Differential Equations and Integrable Systems"*. 2009. arXiv: 0902.3954.

[51] A. B. Shabat and R. I. Yamilov. Lattice representations of integrable systems. *Phys. Lett. A*, 130(4-5):271–275, 1988.

[52] A.V. Mikhailov and J.P. Wang. A new recursion operator for Adlers equation in the Viallet form. *Physics Letters A*, 375(45):3960–3963, 2011. arXiv:1105.1269.

[53] K. Narita. Soliton solution to extended Volterra equation. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 51(5):1682–1685, 1982.

[54] Y. Itoh. Integrals of a Lotka-Volterra system of odd number of variables. *Progr. Theoret. Phys.*, 78(3):507–510, 1987.

[55] A. K. Svinin. On some class of reductions for the Itoh–Narita–Bogoyavlenskii lattice. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 42:454021, 2009.

[56] A. K. Svinin. On some class of homogeneous polynomials and explicit form of integrable hierarchies of differentialdifference equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 44:165206, 2011.

[57] V.E. Adler. On a discrete analog of the Tzitzeica equation. 2011. arXiv:1103.5139.

[58] V.E. Adler and V.V. Postnikov. Differential-difference equations associated with the fractional Lax operators. 2011. arXiv:1107.2305.

[59] Morikazu Toda. Wave propagation in anharmonic lattices. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 23(3):501–506, 1967.

[60] H. Flaschka. The Toda lattice. II. Existence of integrals. *Phys. Rev. B*, 9:1924–1925, 1974.

[61] Ryogo Hirota. Exact n-soliton solution of a nonlinear lumped network equation. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 35:286–288, 1973.

[62] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars. Relativistic Toda systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 133(2):217–247, 1990.

[63] Yuri B. Suris. New integrable systems related to the relativistic Toda lattice. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 30(5):1745–1761, 1997.

[64] Walter Oevel, Benno Fuchssteiner, Hongwei Zhang, and Orlando Ragnisco. Mastersymmetries, angle variables, and recursion operator of the relativistic Toda lattice. *J. Math. Phys.*, 30(11):2664–2670, 1989.

[65] Yu.B. Suris. Integrable discretizations for lattice systems: local equations of motion and their Hamiltonian properties. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 11(6):727–822, 1999.

[66] Yuri B. Suris and Orlando Ragnisco. What is the Relativistic Volterra Lattice? *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 200(2):445–485, 1999.

[67] I. Merola, O. Ragnisco, and Gui-Zhang Tu. A novel hierarchy of integrable lattices. *Inverse Problems*, 10(6):1315–1334, 1994.

[68] V. E. Adler and R. I. Yamilov. Explicit auto-transformations of integrable chains. *J. Phys. A*, 27(2):477–492, 1994.

[69] M. J. Ablowitz and J. F. Ladik. Nonlinear differential-difference equations and Fourier analysis. *J. Math. Phys.*, 17(6):1011–1018, 1976.

[70] W. Hereman, J.A. Sanders, J. Sayers, and J.P. Wang. Symbolic computation of polynomial conserved densities, generalized symmetries, and recursion operators for nonlinear differential-difference equations. In *Group Theory and Numerical Analysis Book series title: CRM proceedings* & *Lecture Notes, 39*, pages 133–148. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[71] Ü. Göktaş and W. Hereman. Symbolic computation of recursion operators for nonlinear differential-difference equations. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 16(1):1–12, 2011.

[72] M. Bruschi and O. Ragnisco. On a new integrable Hamiltonian system with nearest-neighbour interaction. *Inverse Problems*, 5(6):983–998, 1989.

[73] T. Tsuchida. Integrable discretizations of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 35(36):7827–7847, 2002.

[74] V.E. Adler. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 124(1):897–908, 2000.

[75] A. B. Shabat and R. I. Yamilov. Symmetries of nonlinear lattices. *Algebra i Analiz*, 2(2):183–208, 1990.

[76] Alexander A. Belov and Karen D. Chaltikian. Lattice analogue of the W-infinity algebra and discrete KP-hierarchy. *Physics Letters B*, 317(1-2):64–72, 1993. arXiv:hep-th/9305096.

[77] R. Sahadevan and S. Khousalya. Master symmetries for Volterra equation, Belov–Chaltikian and Blaszak–Marciniak lattice equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 44:241–251, 2003.

[78] Kazuhiro Hikami and Rei Inoue. Classical lattice w algebras and integrable systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 30:6911–6924, 1997.

[79] G. Marí Beffa and J.P. Wang. Hamiltonian evolutions of twisted gons in RP^n. arXiv:1207.6524.

[80] M. Blaszak and K. Marciniak. R–matrix approach to lattice integrable systems. *J. Math. Phys.*, 35(9):4661–4682, 1994.

[81] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Yu. B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 233:513–543, 2003.

[82] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Yu. B. Suris. Discrete nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Classification of integrable cases. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 43:3–17, 2009.

[83] D. Levi and R. I. Yamilov. Generalized symmetry integrability test for discrete equations on the square lattice. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 44(14):145207, 2011.